1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND SOUTHERN DIVISION	
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :	Criminal Action No.
5	v. :	PJM 03-457
6	JAMES FLOOD, :	Greenbelt, Maryland
7	Defendant. :	Thursday, July 27, 2017
8	/	10:00 A.M.
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE PETER J. MESSITTE	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	APPEARANCES:	
13		RA WILKINSON, Esquire
14	– 36 S	ce of the United Attorney outh Charles Street, Fourth Floor
15		imore, Maryland 21201 209-4921
16		
17		A KARIN KAHN, Esquire
18	8 Ea	Law Office of Marta K. Kahn, LLC st Mulberry Street
19		imore, Maryland 21202 299-6966
20		
21		
22		
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LINDA C. MARSHALL, (301) 344-3229	
24	COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOTYPE NOTES	
25		

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: The matter now pending before the 3 Court is Criminal Case Number PJM 03-457, United States of America versus James Edward Flood, III. The matter comes before 4 5 this Court for a motions hearing. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, identify yourselves 6 7 first for the government and then for defendant. 8 MS. WILKINSON: Good morning, Judge Messitte. Sandra 9 Wilkinson on behalf of the U.S. Attorney's office. 10 MS. KAHN: Good morning, Your Honor. Marta Kahn for 11 Mr. Flood. 12 THE COURT: Anything preliminary from either government or defendant? 13 14 MS. WILKINSON: I don't believe so. 15 THE COURT: You're ready to launch? Here's what I thought. Because there is a number of 16 17 issues, we should go back and forth on the issues rather than 18 having the defendant argue all of his claims and then hear it 19 from defendant. Let's just go one, two, three, four, back and 20 forth. 21 And I don't know whether you want to go in the 22 numerical sequence of the counts or you've got certain kinds of 2.3 issues you want to cluster and talk about before others. Ms. Kahn, it's your motion. 24 25 MS. KAHN: Certainly I'll accommodate whatever the

```
Court wants to do. I was going to submit on a couple of the
 1
 2
     issues.
               THE COURT: Well, why don't we start with what isn't
 3
     an issue then? I know one, of course, we've decided already,
 4
 5
     which is the juror strikes.
               MS. KAHN: Yes.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Okay.
 8
               MS. KAHN: I'm going to argue Claim One, which is on
 9
     the plea, the ineffective assistance in failing to seek a plea.
               I'm going to submit -- I'm sorry, didn't write the
10
11
     numbers of the claims on my notes. I'm going to submit on
12
     Claims Two and Three. And I'm going to argue more briefly than
13
     Claim One, the balance of the claims obviously not including
14
     Batson.
15
               And it was my understanding the Court was no planning
     to hear argument on the supplemental briefs on the Johnson
16
17
     issues. I can touch on those briefly if the Court would like.
18
               MS. WILKINSON: We haven't briefed them yet.
19
               THE COURT: No, I think we -- that's right, I think
20
     we'll hold off on that.
21
               Well, is it fair to say that your big ticket item is
22
     Claim One?
23
               MS. KAHN: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Well, maybe we ought to get the smaller
24
25
     issues out of the way first and then come back and spend our
```

```
time on Claim One. So why don't we start with -- you're talking
 1
 2
     about Claim Two, which is what, fail to move for joinder.
 3
               MS. KAHN: I'm submitting on claims --
               THE COURT: Oh, you're submitting. I'm sorry,
 4
 5
     which -- two and three you're submitting on and --
               MS. WILKINSON: Four was already resolved.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: All right. The multiplications indictment
 8
     you're submitting on. And Claim Four is already decided. So
 9
     you are here on failure to offer evidence of good character,
10
     conceding the sufficiency of evidence to support a finding of
11
     guilt, failing to request limiting instruction regarding the
12
     cross-examination of Natasha Massey, and then the collective
13
     undermining of competence.
14
               MS. KAHN: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Let's lay Claim One aside and
16
     go through the other ones then in sequence starting with the
17
     claim as to failure to offer evidence of good character.
               MS. KAHN: May I argue from the podium?
18
19
               THE COURT: However you want to talk, that's fine.
20
               MS. KAHN: Thank you.
21
               So this is sort of a cluster of claims about defense
22
     counsel strategy at trial. It's a standard Sixth Amendment
2.3
     claim, Strickland claim. The first being that in opening
     argument the defense promised that the jury would hear evidence
24
25
     that Mr. Flood was innocent. It said, you know, the government
```

will show this and we will show that Mr. Flood was not there.

He is absolutely innocent and then they did not offer that

evidence.

Now, when they said that they were going to show this affirmative evidence of innocence, the government objected to that and the Court actually sustained that objection, and actually admonished counsel that they should not say that they are offering evidence of innocence unless they actually have evidence of innocence. And in fact said, quote, you're going to have to deliver on what you said, and they did not say that.

And the cases cited in the petition talk about how damaging it is to offer the jury something like evidence of innocence and then not provide it. In a sense that you could think about it like a — I was thinking analogies, but a toddler who is offered a piece of cake and then does not receive that piece of cake is going to feel much differently than if he was never offered that in the first place.

So here is counsel saying, I'm going to show you this man is innocent, and then here comes the end of trial and they never heard that. There's really only one conclusion they can draw from that. You said you have evidence, you don't have evidence. He's clearly guilty, defense counsel essentially told me that.

THE COURT: Was the government permitted to argue he didn't provide evidence of his innocence, therefore that

1 demonstrates guilt? They wouldn't have argued that. 2 MS. KAHN: I don't believe they argued that, Your 3 I'm merely talking about what message was left by defense counsel, you know, with the jury having been told that 4 5 they were going to receive this evidence knowing that they were not going to offer that evidence is ineffective assistance. 6 7 If you want to simply say that the government's 8 evidence does not add up, if you want to say that it's 9 circumstantial, that they're not going to be able to actually 10 place him in the car, you can say that and then that will follow 11 through trial and through your strategy and through what the 12 evidence is actually going to show. 13 To stand there and say to a jury, I'm going to show 14 you that he's innocent and then not show that leaves the jury in 15 a more conviction prone place than they otherwise would have 16 been. I mean, I see it --17 What the government did point to, I believe, is that the -- they had, they had showed -- the government had evidence 18 19 that Mr. Flood's phone was used and that his car was used in the 20 event. 21 THE COURT: What are the exact words that you're going 22 on? For example, someone could say, the evidence will show that he's innocent. That wouldn't be counsel necessarily 23 misrepresenting it. That would be challenging the government's 24 25 Does he say, I will show you -- we will put on evidence

```
1
     that he's innocent or is there something that's a little more
 2.
     neutral?
 3
               MS. KAHN: I have to -- if I may glance at my --
               THE COURT: Read to me the language that you say is
 4
 5
     the promise that wasn't fulfilled.
 6
               MS. WILKINSON: I think it's at page 18.
 7
               MS. KAHN: Oh, thank you.
 8
               "James Flood was not present at the kidnapping, had no
 9
     part in it and James Flood had no part in the death of Eric
10
     Hayes. That's also what the evidence is going to show."
11
               THE COURT: Well, what's wrong about that?
12
               MS. KAHN: Well, I could -- the petition also points
13
     out what the government and the Court thought was wrong with
14
     that. And the Court itself said --
15
               THE COURT: At that point or later on.
16
               MS. KAHN: At that point.
17
               THE COURT: This is at a bench conference, I assume.
               MS. KAHN: Yes, Your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT: Okay.
20
               MS. KAHN: I apologize. The government objected and
21
     said, "If counsel is going to proceed with his defense as he
22
     argued --
23
               THE COURT: If counsel is going to proceed with the
     defense is what the government said.
24
25
               MS. KAHN: Correct.
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
               MS. KAHN: However -- "he's going to have to call
 2
 3
     Mr. Flood to say he wasn't there. So certainly, if Mr. Flood is
 4
     going to say he didn't do it, then that's going to have to come
 5
     from Mr. Flood. The only way he can prove that he wasn't there,
     that they had his car and keys, but he wasn't there is for
 6
 7
     Mr. Flood to get on the stand and say it."
               And Your Honor said, "I'm afraid that's right. I
 8
     don't know how you argue he didn't do it. It's a very different
 9
10
     proposition to say that the government hasn't proved it, but to
11
     say he didn't do it, no one has established that he didn't do
12
     it."
13
               So I think that's a fairly --
14
               THE COURT: This is at the beginning of the trial or
15
     end of the trial?
16
               MS. KAHN: This is at the beginning of the trial.
17
               THE COURT: I don't know what the evidence necessarily
18
     is going to be at that point, right?
19
               MS. KAHN: Well, I think counsel has a good
20
     understanding of what the evidence is going to be and they
21
     certainly know what evidence of innocence they possess. I think
22
     they can be fairly certain the government is not going to put on
23
     evidence of Mr. Flood's innocence. So when they say, the
24
     evidence is going to show he is innocent, the jury is thinking
25
     they're going to be hearing something reflecting that he's
```

```
1
     innocent. As opposed to the government's evidence is not going
 2
     to amount to proof beyond a reasonable doubt or this is a
 3
     circumstantial case and they can't prove that he was there,
 4
     making a statement that --
 5
               THE COURT: Was there any direct proof that he was
     there, that he was the trigger man, that he did anything like
 6
 7
     that. There's a lot of circumstantial evidence around him being
     very near the scene at the time, but wouldn't that have
 8
 9
     permitted an argument that he wasn't there?
10
               MS. KAHN: I certainly think --
11
               THE COURT: There's no evidence that he was directly
12
     there and I think Ms. Wilkinson is correctly on it, I think
13
     that's what the evidence was, right?
14
               Did anybody place him squarely at the scene or on the
15
     scent when the shooting occurred? I don't think so.
16
               MS. WILKINSON: No, we didn't have a cooperator that
17
     testified about actually what happened.
18
               THE COURT: So, why couldn't they argue from that,
19
     we'll show that he was innocent. And then the next step would
20
     be, because the evidence doesn't show that anybody put him
21
     there.
22
               MS. KAHN: My argument is based on partly --
23
               THE COURT: He's already said, the evidence will show,
24
     not we'll show.
25
               MS. KAHN:
                          Yes.
```

THE COURT: May be an important distinction. 1 2 MS. KAHN: I think -- my position simply reflects what 3 the government was arguing and what the Court was agreeing with 4 the government on that there's a big distinction from saying 5 that the evidence will not show that he was there, and implying to the jury they have -- they didn't say the word "alibi", but 6 7 something implying --8 THE COURT: Well, wait a minute though. He wasn't --9 the jury wasn't told to disregard what he said, was it? 10 MS. KAHN: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I mean, we stopped him at that point and 12 said, don't go any further unless you're -- I mean, we're 13 effectively trying to keep him from being ineffective, aren't 14 we, at that point? 15 MS. KAHN: I believe it was at the conclusion of 16 argument that the objection occurred. However, that to me -- I 17 mean, the claim is, the cat was out of the bag at that point. 18 The jury had heard and could certainly have implied from these 19 words that we're going to hear affirmative evidence of innocence and then simply did not. And that's the prejudicial ineffective 20 21 assistance. 22 THE COURT: That's a big leap to make that statement 23 from what the word said or said, and saying we're going to hear evidence from the defendant that, and then counsel didn't follow 24 25 I'm not sure I make that connection.

MS. KAHN: Well, I can see that Your Honor disagrees 1 with the claim. 2 3 THE COURT: Well, I'm not puzzled by it because he wasn't -- the jury was not told to disregard what he said and 4 5 what he said was permissible to that point. I didn't know what the evidence was going to show at that point. I hadn't talked 6 7 to all the witnesses. As far as I was concerned, somebody might 8 say, you know what, I just can't see Flood connected with the 9 shooting here. 10 MS. KAHN: Well, the point that the Court and the 11 government made at the time was, if you're going to make a claim 12 of innocence as opposed to a claim that the government can't 13 meet its burden, if you're going to make affirmative claim of 14 innocence, we're expecting that you must be putting Mr. Flood on 15 the stand. So, that's what the government thought. That's what 16 the Court thought. So that I don't see that it's a massively --17 THE COURT: I thought that was the direction he was going in. I think that was -- that's the distinction. I didn't 18 19 think he had said it in that statement that he had made to that 20 point. 21 I didn't know the government's evidence at that point 22 and I was cautioning him, unless you're prepared to -- if 23 there's somebody who is going to demonstrate innocence except you, you better be careful about being ineffective. I mean, 24 25 that's effectively what I would say.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MS. KAHN: I agree and I believe the ineffectiveness
had already happened at that point. So, that's the balance of
that claim. I mean, I just think that the jury was set up to
understand that there was going to be affirmative evidence of
innocence. I think that they could easily have made that leap.
The government did, the Court did.
          I mean, it's a fairly common distinction that's made
between arguing affirmative innocence and arguing failure of the
government's burden.
          THE COURT: So any time an attorney says, a defense
attorney in opening statement, my client is innocent and then
doesn't call a witness to demonstrate it, they're ineffective
for constitutional reasons.
          MS. KAHN: I think if they -- I think these words
actually said, the evidence is going to show that he's innocent,
which in my reading of it, if I were a juror, I would think I am
going to be hearing evidence of his innocence, rather than the
government is going to fail at its burden?
          THE COURT: Okay.
          MS. KAHN: Should I just --
          THE COURT: There's another part in that same motion.
There was an issue of character testimony.
          MS. KAHN: Yes. By the same token, counsel offered
the jury that they would be hearing that Mr. Flood was a good
man, he had been working hard. You know, that he -- I don't
```

think they directly said that he was -- had no record, but the 1 2 good character evidence that they were going to put on, again 3 that evidence did not arrive. So again, the jury would not have thought, you know, that Mr. Flood's character was even an issue 4 5 until counsel told them that evidence was coming and then it didn't come. 6 7 Well, you said that. Where is it? What happened? 8 Maybe he's not such a good guy. Maybe we can't believe anything 9 you say, because you told us in opening you're showing us he's 10 innocent, evidence of his innocence. You didn't. You're saying 11 you're going to show us he's a good guy. Never heard anything 12 about that either. So, you know, you're --13 Then it kind of ties in with the other claim here, if 14 I may, sort of, just touch on that one, which is ineffective 15 assistance for conceding evidence without making it a part of a 16 larger theory. 17 So, as we just discussed a minute ago, the government had evidence that the perpetrators had used Mr. Flood's phone 18 19 and that he had -- they had used his car and he had lied to the 20 Grand Jury about the whereabouts of the car. So, basically, 21 defense counsel in opening conceded those pieces of evidence, which is probably enough for a conspiracy conviction. And 22 23 conceding guilt is a very sticky wicket. THE COURT: What does he say specifically? You're 24 25 characterizing what he said, but what does he say about those --

```
MS. KAHN: He says -- so there's a couple of excerpts
 1
     here. James Flood is seated at that table right there.
 2
 3
     defense --
               THE COURT: Slow down a bit for the reporter.
 4
 5
               MS. KAHN: I'm so sorry. I do that often, sorry.
               "James Flood is seated at that table right there, the
 6
 7
     defense table because they used his car and they used his phone
     during the commission of that crime. What the government told
 8
 9
     you is absolutely correct, they used his car. It was a car he
10
     had bought off Kenneth Lighty. So in that sense, they're
11
     correct.
12
               I'm going to save you some time. The car was his. He
13
     had purchased that car from Kenneth Lighty just days before this
14
     crime took place, but that vehicle was his, no two ways about
15
     it. And the government is absolutely correct, he lied about the
     car being his before the Grand Jury. He lied about it several
16
17
     times and we're not trying to controvert that. It was his car,
18
     no doubt about it.
19
               When the government called him as their witness, he
20
     lied before the Grand Jury. He repeatedly lied before the Grand
21
     Jury. That much is absolutely true."
22
               THE COURT: This is opening or closing? I'm sorry.
23
               MS. KAHN: This is opening.
               And then they conceded the same evidence repeatedly in
24
25
     closing as well.
```

THE COURT: All right.

MS. KAHN: So in certain cases, the government -- I mean, in certain cases counsel can concede guilt if it's part of a larger strategy. For example, if it's conceding a lower offense in order to attack a greater offense, that -- generally, concessions of that nature need permission of the client, but more importantly, it has to be tied in to a larger strategy.

Here, these concessions were not tied into to a part of a larger strategy. Counsel basically said, yes, that he lied about the car. But it's sort of implying, I guess, that somehow Lighty and Wilson got his phone in his car, but they never really offered any way that Lighty and Wilson got his phone in his car and used them without his permission.

So, again, in that sense, that's sort of giving the jury enough to probably to convict on a conspiracy conviction without any benefit to overall defense theory. So I just think as part of a setting off the whole tone of the trial from the beginning, basically, saying the government has got this great evidence which is enough for you to convict, which they didn't say, I grant you. And we're going to show evidence of innocence and we're going to show you evidence he's a great guy. And if that never happened either, this jury basically had no path to deviate from conviction at that point given what defense counsel had said.

THE COURT: You're talking about evidence that he was

```
great guy. That's really not the shape the character evidence
 1
 2
     would take, is it?
               MS. KAHN: Well, that was shorthand.
 3
               THE COURT: Best it's pretty broad. I mean, in terms
 4
 5
     of what could have been said by a character witness.
               MS. KAHN: I believe that the -- if -- I'm sorry, Your
 6
 7
     Honor. The evidence was -- I believe it was that he --
 8
               THE COURT: Think about what good character would
 9
          If he would testify, good character would bolster his
10
     credibility. Good character evidence, what would it do in this
11
     case? Show not to have assaultive propensity or what, what
12
     would it have done?
13
               MS. KAHN: I don't believe that the absence of the
14
     evidence was the prejudicial ineffectiveness. I believe that
15
     the promising of evidence that you then do not provide is the
16
     ineffectiveness, because you lose credibility with the jury and
17
     because it, again, sort of like the piece of cake analogy puts
18
     it on the jury's mind that this evidence is coming. We're going
19
     to hear something good about him, that he had this job or that
20
     he was a contributing member of society. And then it doesn't
21
     come, which makes us think either that evidence doesn't exist or
22
     it makes us think you don't know what you're doing.
23
               So how are we supposed to buy your defense theory that
     somehow Flood got his phone and his car, and we never heard any
24
25
     evidence of innocence like you said. So it just seems like that
```

unfulfilled promise contributed to the lack of credibility to the defense as a whole.

2.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from Ms. Wilkinson on these issues and then we'll let you pick up on others.

MS. WILKINSON: Certainly, Your Honor. Let me deal with the second issue first, if I might, since it's fresh in everyone's mind. I don't know how many opening statements I've heard in my time here as an Assistant U.S. Attorney, but I honestly can't recall a single one where defense counsel didn't try to or did successfully — I'll use the word "sneak" in evidence about a person's background with no evidence submitted at trial as a result of that person.

In other words, you're giving background about the person, discussing the person before opening statements and then maybe we don't hear evidence that they actually worked at so and so job or that they were a good mother, or that they cared about something.

And you know, whether or not that's a strategic decision to kind of get those thoughts in front of the jury and then modifying their strategy later, I don't know, but that's what Mr. Lawlor did in this case because he put in his affidavit that it was upon learning that the government would cross-examine any character witnesses with other bad act evidence that he modified his strategy accordingly with regard to whether or not Mr. Flood was a honest man, a non-violent man,

that sort of thing and he puts that right into his affidavit. 1 2 THE COURT: Do we have an affidavit from the person 3 who would have given the testimony saying I would have said all these things or are we speculating that that person, whoever 4 5 that person might have been might have said something. MS. WILKINSON: Not only are we speculating about 6 7 that, because that is not anywhere in the petition that was 8 filed, Your Honor. But more importantly, where is the prejudice 9 in the compelling evidence that was presented before this jury 10 of Mr. Flood's involvement in this conspiracy to murder 11 Mr. Davis. How, how -- I mean, Mr. Hayes, excuse me, Your 12 Honor. 13 How did that possibly prejudice the jury if they would 14 have heard that Mr. Flood worked at Douglas Knolls apartment? 15 How would that possibly have changed in this jury's mind whether 16 or not he was involved in this particular homicide? So, there's 17 no prejudice either, there's no proof that there were people who would come in and testify about what his, quote-unquote, good 18 19 character one. And then we have the attorney himself saying he 20 made a strategic decision at that point. 21 And let me go directly to what his affidavit actually 22 says here, Your Honor, at paragraph 5-D. "Our failure to 23 deliver evidence promised in opening, assuming opening can be read as such, was based on rulings made by the Court during 24

25

trial.

At some point, I recall the government indicated they 1 2 possessed evidence of other criminal activity by Mr. Flood that 3 was prepared to present and we altered our strategy accordingly. THE COURT: Under by way of cross-examination, 4 5 assuming a character witness had been called, the government could have said, but have you heard that Mr. So and So also is 6 7 quilty of this crime, this crime and that crime. 8 MS. WILKINSON: Of course. 9 THE COURT: Where there could have been impeachment, serious impeachment of the character witness that would have 10 11 undercut the defendant's case. 12 MS. WILKINSON: Yes, I certainly don't --13 THE COURT: I just don't have anything before me on 14 that. 15 MS. WILKINSON: There's no evidence from the 16 petitioner in front of you before that, Your Honor, but even 17 more importantly, again, this is a defense attorney who is making decisions the best he can in a context of a case where 18 19 there's, frankly, overwhelming evidence of Mr. Flood's guilt and 20 he is providing a little bit of background in his opening 21 statement. 22 This isn't one where he, you know, presents or 23 promises an alibi defense, for example, and then doesn't 24 deliver. And I think that that was running into the second 25 issue or the first issue that Ms. Kahn addressed.

exactly what the Court is talking about here.

He didn't cross that line. He's simply saying, the evidence is going to show that Mr. Flood is innocent. Well, you can certainly argue that from his cross-examination of the witnesses because we didn't have a witness that put Mr. Flood at the scene of the murder at the time that it happened. Of course, you're going to argue that.

Did the circumstantial evidence add up to what the jury convicted Mr. Flood of? Of course it did, but he had to deal with the cards that he had at this point. And he is going to argue from that evidence that there's nobody who said Mr. Flood was sitting in that car at the time Mr. Hayes was murdered.

And so when he's standing up before the jury, he's making the point that the Court. Did that -- did he say it in a

You know, we're talking about semantics here. He's basically saying, when you look at his opening statement and we're mincing out words here, his context was, it's not enough evidence and, therefore, it's going to show that he's innocent.

The lay person know the difference between the government being able to prove and actually staying with innocent, it cannot be that a defense attorney can't say that in opening statement, my client didn't do this. Is that the same as saying, the government can prove my client didn't do this? I

1 think we're mincing words here for purposes of what is a opening 2 statement. He certainly didn't argue that enclosing statements 3 that he was -- that there was evidence that he had put on of his 4 absolute innocence. 5 I mean, I -- you know, I read Mr. Lawlor's opening statement here, Your Honor, and even though the government and 6 7 the Court and the defense engaged in a colloquy at the end of his statement saying, hey, don't cross that line here, it really 8 9 was directed towards future -- making future arguments of things 10 that didn't exist. Then saying what he had said in opening 11 statement was inappropriate or more importantly ineffective for 12 purposes of this case. 13 And on that, unless the Court has any questions --THE COURT: No. Ms. Kahn, do you want to respond to 14 15 this particular issue? 16 MS. KAHN: Just very briefly, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. MS. KAHN: Just three quick points. The good 18 19 character evidence portion of what he said was "Now, during this 20 trial, you're also going to hear about some of the good aspects 21 of James Flood, the real James Flood. And you're going to hear 22 that around January, 2002, a long time before and a long time 23 after he was a hard working young man, worked every day, worked every day for the Douglas Knolls apartments. He worked every 24 25 day and you're going to hear from people who know all aspects of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
his life. And they're going to tell you, he's a hard-working
quy and he's not a violent man. So you're going to hear some
things about James Flood during this trial as well and I think
that's very important." So that's evidence of good character.
          THE COURT: Do you have the names of the people who
would have been called?
          MS. KAHN: No, Your Honor, because I just want to
clarify that my point is not the absence of that evidence
prejudiced the jury substantively. I'm saying, it's the empty
promise itself.
          If he had said, I'm going to show you that James Flood
has once traveled to the moon, I don't need a person to say, I
had evidence that he traveled to the moon to prove prejudice on
that. It prejudiced the jury by telling them, I'm going to show
you something and not show it to you. That's the nature of the
prejudice itself. It's losing credibility and making empty
promises, and losing the belief of the jury.
          And also it's Mr. McKenna was making the opening
argument, so I don't think Mr. Lawlor's proclamations about what
happened there are really relevant?
         MS. WILKINSON: I'm sorry for that.
         MS. KAHN: That's okay.
          Well, he put in his affidavit about what his strategy
was and he didn't have one.
          THE COURT: Is there an affidavit from McKenna?
```

MS. KAHN: There is not. 1 Also, I just also want to clarify that it was -- you 2 3 know, the government is standing here now and saying that they 4 didn't think that defense counsel went too far in their opening 5 argument, but they are the ones that objected to it. The Court agreed with their objection. The counsel was admonished for 6 7 what he did, so it can't be that was, sort of, so innocuous. THE COURT: Are you back on the first issue? 8 9 MS. KAHN: Yes, I'm -- yes, just augment on the evidence of innocence piece that, you know, it's sort of not 10 11 just me making it up. 12 THE COURT: I just heard you say, he was admonished. 13 He hadn't done anything wrong to that point. 14 MS. KAHN: Except that that's what the Court said. 15 The Court said, "Mr. McKenna, we have your words. We'll see 16 what your evidence does. You're going to have to deliver on 17 what you said. 18 I'm afraid that's right. I mean, I don't know how you 19 argument he didn't do it. It's a very different proposition to 20 say that the government hasn't proved it. Very different 21 proposition to say that the government hasn't proved it. But to 22 say he didn't do it, no one established he didn't do it. So 23 it's even going far afield from what an opening argument is supposed to do, which is summarize the evidence. There is no 24 25 evidence that you're going to put on that he didn't do it." And

```
1
     with that I'll --
 2.
               THE COURT: I think I understand that part.
 3
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
               MS. KAHN:
               THE COURT: Do you have anything further to say on
 4
 5
     those issues?
               MS. WILKINSON: No, Your Honor.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: You want to go on to your next issue? Is
     there anything more to say about --
 8
 9
               Well, maybe you should go into the issue of conceded
     evidence sufficient to finding quilt or have you covered that
10
11
     already?
12
               MS. KAHN: It's covered.
13
               THE COURT: I don't know that you addressed that. You
14
     want to say anything about it, Ms. Wilkinson?
15
               MS. WILKINSON: Your Honor, they didn't concede Mr. --
16
     I should just submit on my brief, because I lay it out in there,
17
     Your Honor, but they did not concede that Mr. Flood was quilty
     here. They conceded the two points that they had to concede
18
19
     because there was such powerful evidence over it and it was a
20
     tactical decision.
21
               Again, as like at Mr. Lawlor's affidavit that he --
22
     that he stated at paragraph 5E, I do not agree we conceded
23
     elements of the offense. Our position at trial was that
     Mr. Flood was not present at nor aware of the kidnapping of the
24
     victim and did not participate in the conspiracy to commit the
25
```

```
act or the substantive act. We conceded those things, that
 1
 2
     means the car and the phone because that -- we thought we had to
 3
     concede them by pushing out the theory that we could. And of
     course, that's true because --
 4
 5
               THE COURT: On the car, what evidence was there?
     What, sale by Flood to Lighty?
 6
 7
               MS. WILKINSON: There was testimony that he had
 8
     purchased the car from Mr. Lighty.
               THE COURT: By who?
 9
10
               MS. WILKINSON: I don't recall. Let me see if I can
11
     go back about --
12
               THE COURT: Who testified to that?
13
               MS. WILKINSON: I think that -- give me one second,
14
     Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Well, Flood was the owner of the car at
16
     the time of the murder, is that the statement?
17
               MS. WILKINSON: Yes.
               THE COURT: The evidence showed that. Then the issue
18
19
     was, Flood had purchased it from Lighty sometime prior?
20
               MS. WILKINSON: Yes, Your Honor. Hold on, I'm getting
21
     to the -- I think I put it in my brief, maybe not.
22
               THE COURT: One of the girlfriends talk about that?
23
               MS. WILKINSON: I believe his girlfriend,
     Ms. Marshall, talked about it at trial, but I also believe that
24
25
     his -- I can't remember right now, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
                                                                 Ιf
```

```
the Court needs that, I will certainly supplement.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Well, on the cellphones, there actually
 3
     were phone calls that were made on Flood's cellphone.
               MS. WILKINSON: Correct, and people testifying that
 4
 5
     that was his phone number.
               THE COURT: And then there were phone records that
 6
 7
     showed that it was his cell phone?
 8
               MS. WILKINSON: Of course.
 9
               THE COURT: Well, all right so.
               MS. WILKINSON: Un-refutable type of evidence. In
10
11
     other words, Ms. Marshall is actually a witness for the defense
12
     and she would testify that it was his car and his phone number,
13
     for example. There's the facts that you -- that were just
14
     well-known throughout the the trial, a lot of different
15
     evidence.
16
               THE COURT: Well, all right. And I suppose it could
17
     be argued and you ought to, I think, address this, Ms. Kahn,
18
     that these are essentially irrefutable facts. And the idea of
19
     counsel conceding that he'll concede them looks like something
20
     is being given up, which really isn't very much because he was
21
     going to be beaten on those points anyway.
22
               So, technically, perhaps not a bad decision to say, we
23
     give on those points. We're not going to make --
               The reverse would be, we're going to contest them and
24
25
     it's not even a central issue in the case.
```

```
MS. WILKINSON: Of course, Your Honor. I mean, it's
 1
 2
     more important to --
 3
               THE COURT: The question is whether there was a
     tactical decision here that could be defended as just a decision
 4
 5
     by counsel to forego. And may even look like they're making a
     concession, which candidly wasn't much.
 6
 7
               MS. WILKINSON: Yeah, it's more important to show what
 8
     the government couldn't show. For example, we didn't have a
     cooperator that put him at the scene of the murder. We didn't
 9
10
     have anybody to say, this is what happened that night.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Anything more on this point?
12
               MS. KAHN: No, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Let's go on to your next
14
     point, which is -- that is on the concession of quilt. That
15
     would be Item 60, the limiting instruction following examination
16
     of Latasha Massey.
17
               MS. KAHN: Yes, Your Honor. This is just a brief
     point. So Latasha Massey testified --
18
19
               THE COURT: Tell me her relationship again.
20
               MS. KAHN: Latasha Massey was Tony Mathis' girlfriend.
21
               THE COURT: Mathis' girlfriend.
22
               MS. KAHN: Yes. So, she testified that Mr. Flood
23
     called Mr. Mathis who -- and then came by. And then Mathis left
     with Flood and later Mathis came back and had blood on his
24
25
     clothes.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Lighty's counsel wanted to use that as evidence of
their theory that it was Flood and Mathis that did the crime.
So they questioned Massey in a way and -- so that when they
tried to draw that out, the government came back and questioned
Massey in a way that indicated that Flood must have called
Massey and returned when Massey returned with -- I mean, Mathis,
and Mathis returned with blood on his clothes from a different
murder.
          So, just because that was so jumbled, I'll read this
section from the record. "Now, you indicated -- this is the
government questioning Ms. Massey. "Now, you indicated that you
remembered that incident because you remembered hearing about a
murder or a kidnapping, or something in the news the next day;
is that correct?" So --
          THE COURT: Her answer was?
          MS. KAHN: Yeah, Mathis, her boyfriend, came in with
blood. And so she said, "Oh, you know, then I heard that there
was a murder. So I thought --
          THE COURT: Talking about the Hayes murder?
          MS. KAHN: Well, she just says, I thought he must have
been involved in whatever murder it was. So, apparently, she
couldn't remember what murder it was. So, she says, yes.
          "Now, where you lived was a high crime area back then,
isn't that correct?
          "Yes."
```

```
THE COURT: In the end, you're saying -- what is the
 1
 2
     essence of the objection? Let me work back from there, because
 3
     I'm not sure where we're going to end up.
               MS. KAHN: I'm sorry, Your Honor. The essence of the
 4
 5
     claim is through this government's questioning, it left the
     impression that Mr. Flood had been involved in some other murder
 6
 7
     other than the Hayes murder which is, of course, highly
     prejudicial to the jury. They did not request any kind of
 8
 9
     instruction or a mistrial or anything suggesting that the jury
     should not consider any possibility that Mr. Flood was involved
10
11
     in --
12
               THE COURT: Did they argue it in closing argument? I
13
     mean, in terms of the -- did the defense argue that she was
14
     confused?
15
               MS. KAHN: Actually, at this point, I don't recall.
16
               THE COURT: Wouldn't that matter though?
17
               MS. KAHN: I would have put that probably in the
     petition had they done so. I'm not sure that it has to come up
18
19
     in argument when a jury has been left with the impression that
20
     Mr. Flood could have been involved in another murder. I think
21
     that's fairly prejudicial without anyone even going back over
22
     it, so it just seems to me at that point --
23
               THE COURT: Is it constitutionally ineffective
     assistance of counsel even to not ask for an instruction, a
24
25
     limiting instruction middle of trial, but perhaps then going
```

```
forward and arguing afterwards that there's confusion in the
 1
 2
     testimony?
 3
               MS. KAHN: Oh, I see. Did --
               THE COURT: Did Lawlor or McKenna, whoever was doing
 4
 5
     it come back and say, you know, Latasha Massey could not be sure
 6
     as to whether Mathis was -- whether Flood was at the scene or
 7
     not at that scene, whatever her testimony was.
 8
               MS. KAHN: Well, because Latasha Massey was not
 9
     implicating Mr. Flood necessarily --
10
               So, it's a little bit confusing because, essentially,
11
     the government's position was that because Massey's testimony
12
     didn't line-up with their theory, because it didn't put Wilson
13
14
               THE COURT: Massey was a defense witness?
15
               MS. KAHN: No, Massey was -- yes, Massey was a defense
16
     witness for Lighty. So Lighty -- Lighty's theory was that Flood
17
     and Mathis did the crime. So Lighty's people put them on.
               The government didn't like that theory, so they
18
19
     cross-examined Massey to try to give the impression that this
20
     wasn't the Hayes murder because it didn't match up with their
21
     timing or with their theory of the case, so this must have been
22
     some other murder. There's so many murders.
23
               THE COURT: The government wanted some other murder,
     is that what you're saying?
24
               MS. KAHN: Right, right. So you know, the jury is
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
left with the impression, there's some other murder and nobody
tells the jury, don't consider the fact that there was some
other murder in your consideration of whether he's quilty of
this murder.
          THE COURT: But defense counsel called Massey. Is the
position here that she was even called as a witness, because
that certainly would go to the fair examination by the
government.
         MS. KAHN: Lighty's defense counsel called her, not
Flood's.
         THE COURT: And was there objection to her being
called by Flood?
         MS. KAHN: I don't think that -- I don't think Flood
had an objection that they could have made. I mean, their
defenses were contradictory defenses. You know, Flood wanted to
say, this was Lighty and Wilson; and Lighty wanted to say, this
was Flood and Mathis, I believe. So the defenses were not
together. So Latasha Massey helped Lighty's defense. And until
they brought up this other --
          THE COURT: Well, what could -- what could have been
done to unscramble this, either by a limiting instruction or
argument by Flood's counsel at closing?
         MS. KAHN: I think an instruction. I would have asked
for an instruction, a motion for mistrial. I wouldn't have
revisited it in closing.
```

THE COURT: If I would have given an instruction, what 1 2 would you have asked for? 3 MS. KAHN: I would have said, you've heard the implication from this testimony that Mr. Flood was somehow 4 5 involved in another murder. You are in no way to consider her testimony as evidence that he was involved in another murder or 6 7 consider the possibility that he was involved in another murder in your deliberations in this case, rather than just leave it 8 out there unquestioned that he picked this guy up and brings him 9 10 home bloody, and it wasn't the Hayes murder. 11 I mean, that's really extremely prejudicial. That's 12 really the balance of that claim. 13 THE COURT: Let me hear the government's response. 14 MS. KAHN: Certainly. MS. WILKINSON: Your Honor, I'm not really sure how to 15 16 respond because I can't even figure out what the limiting 17 instruction would have been from the Court, because it's so 18 convoluted here. I think that what Ms. Kahn is trying to say is 19 that the defense should have had the Court highlight the fact 20 that Ms. Massey is talking about a different murder and say, 21 there's no evidence of a different murder involving Mr. Flood. 22 Again, this is a strategic call that an attorney might 23 make in the course of a trial. I'm not even sure the Court 24 would have given this. This was a very brief witness that 25 Lighty offered. It -- they had the opportunity, obviously,

16

```
defense counsel -- I can't even recall if they cross-examined
 1
 2
     Ms. Massey as well. The only point the government made in its
 3
     cross-examination of Ms. Massey is that --
               THE COURT: Cross-examination would have been to say,
 4
 5
     this other murder that you talk about, tell me about that.
               MS. WILKINSON: Right.
 7
               THE COURT: And that would have been --
 8
               MS. WILKINSON: That might have been ineffective. But
 9
     in regard to this, it's simply that she didn't recall the date
10
     that she heard about a murder on TV. She saw her boyfriend with
11
     blood on his clothes. I mean, frankly, it was such
12
     inconsequential evidence anyway. It came out very flat in the
13
     course of trial. It just is what it is and the inference that
14
     Ms. Kahn wants to draw from it, you have to do a kind of a bunch
15
     of different mental gymnastics to even get to the prejudicial --
               THE COURT: Let me see if I understand the line here.
17
     Essentially, she does say that she saw --
18
               MS. WILKINSON: A murder on TV.
               THE COURT: What's her testimony in her direct
20
     examination? What is she there to say from defendant's
21
     standpoint?
22
               MS. WILKINSON: She said words to the effect that,
23
     that she saw something on TV about a news report and she
     harkened back to seeing her boyfriend who had gone into
24
25
     Mr. Flood's car earlier on some day.
```

```
THE COURT: This is Lighty calling?
 1
 2.
               MS. WILKINSON: Because remember --
 3
               THE COURT: He wants to pin the murder on Flood.
               MS. WILKINSON: He wanted to really pin the murder on
 4
 5
     Mr. Massey. Mr. Massey was the --
               THE COURT: Mr. Mathis.
 6
 7
               MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Mathis, he was the -- exactly, he
 8
     was the unknown person, the big pink elephant in the room for
     Mr. Lighty. He was the one he wanted to focus all of this time
9
10
     and effort on that Mr. Mathis was the one that did it. And this
11
     was his only evidence --
12
               THE COURT: Mathis and Flood was Lighty's targets,
13
     were Lighty's targets?
14
               MS. WILKINSON: That it wasn't him, that Mr. Mathis
15
     was this -- the other person.
               THE COURT: Mathis and Flood?
16
17
               MS. WILKINSON: Yes.
               THE COURT: For purposes of this case, we're talking
18
19
     about Flood and not Mathis.
20
               MS. WILKINSON: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: And so she says that, but in the course of
22
     her testimony, why would she be saying, and I saw blood on
     Flood's shirt?
2.3
24
               MS. WILKINSON: Not Flood.
25
               THE COURT: She's talking about Mathis. So she's
```

```
Mathis' girlfriend.
 1
 2
               MS. WILKINSON: Yeah, she's Mr. Mathis' --
 3
               THE COURT: She saw blood on Mathis' shirt.
               MS. WILKINSON: That's why I'm saying the mental
 4
 5
     gymnastics, Your Honor. The only way Mr. Flood's name comes in
 6
     it is she puts Mr. Mathis in Mr. Flood's vehicle the morning or
 7
     the day that he comes home with blood on his pants; that he had
 8
     gotten into Flood's vehicle, came back sometime later, had blood
 9
     on his pants, saw a news report and remembers thinking, it must
10
     have something to do with that.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. Was the implication that Flood was
12
     involved with another murder or possibly Mathis?
13
               MS. WILKINSON: No, Mathis.
               THE COURT: Maybe that's really what we're talking
14
15
     about, Ms. Kahn. If she saw blood on Mathis' shirt and she --
16
     if the argument was that she became confused about Mathis, was
17
     he even alive at that point? He certainly wasn't in the trial.
18
               MS. WILKINSON: No, he was never charged.
19
               THE COURT: So, she's -- they're asking about whether
20
     there's some other murder involving Mathis, not necessarily
21
             Why would that by prejudicial to Flood?
22
               MS. KAHN: Would Your Honor like me to respond?
23
               THE COURT: I'm asking you that question.
24
               MS. KAHN: Oh, this is how I see it. Lighty's counsel
25
     was -- should I --
```

THE COURT: Wherever you wish. 1 2 MS. KAHN: Lighty's counsel was painting her testimony 3 as circumstantial evidence that Mathis and Flood were involved 4 in the Hayes murder by virtue of the fact that Flood picked him 5 up and brought him back. And then when Mathis came back, he was bloody. That was their circumstantial evidence that he did a 6 7 murder, which was the Hayes murder. 8 The government says, well, that doesn't make any 9 sense. It could have been -- you lived in a high crime area. 10 There's all kinds of murders. Well, that's piggybacking on 11 Lighty's theory that Flood picked up Mathis, took him, brought 12 him back with blood after some other murder, because it wasn't 13 Mathis murder. The government left that impression that it was 14 another murder that involved Flood in the exact same 15 circumstantial way that Lighty's counsel was suggesting. 16 THE COURT: Did they go anywhere beyond the bloody 17 shirt? I mean, how much more did they say about that? 18 MS. KAHN: I believe that was, essentially, the 19 balance of the arguments. 20 THE COURT: Okay. I understand your argument. Let's 21 go on to something else. 22 Well, before you argue the, the last collective 23 prejudice, why don't you go back to the ineffective assistance on, on the plea bargain, plea negotiations. 24 25 MS. KAHN: So, the lead claim in the petition is that

counsel was unquestionably ineffective for failing to make any effort to seek to resolve this case by way of a plea. You know, as the Supreme Court has been clear that the plea negotiation process not only is a critical part of the — of the criminal justice process, but is the criminal justice system, I believe, is the language that they used in Frye.

This — I mean, this is particularly true where, you know, the evidence is strong, the consequences of losing are a

know, the evidence is strong, the consequences of losing are a mandatory life sentence. You know, as we know, we don't see very many defendants walking out this door. Once you're in here and over here, your conviction is very, very likely, which is why I pointed out in the petition that at the time that Mr. Flood was convicted, 95 percent of our criminal defendants pled out and counsel failed to make any effort.

It wasn't until actually the government approached them during jury selection and said, are you guys going to look for anything? Are you going to try to get an offer here? You know, do you want to try to bring him in? And they never took that to the client. They never discussed it at all. And Mr. Lawlor puts in his affidavit, this was my first federal felony case. I cannot understand for the life of me why I wouldn't have pursued a plea in this. It's everybody's stock and trade. It's mostly what we do.

You know, it really, once you're indicted, a lot of the time, right, it's really where it's about negotiating down

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the sentence and it was simply never done. So, you know, any,
any sentence less than mandatory life would have been better
than a virtually assured sentence of mandatory life coming in
here to be tried.
          So, the government says, we can't prove, you know,
there was no offer. We all agree there was no offer, but of
course, that is a product of counsel's ineffectiveness that
there was no offer. They never sought an offer.
          THE COURT: You're indicating that the fact that
counsel did not initiate a request for a plea in and of itself
establishes ineffectiveness?
         MS. KAHN: I believe in this day and age --
          THE COURT: Is there -- does the cases say that?
         MS. KAHN: No, because it's so vanishingly rare where
95 percent of cases resolved by way of plea, it's vanishingly
rare and almost unimaginable that in a case of this nature, in a
murder case carrying mandatory life that no one would even seek
an offer. That's the only way you can save your client.
          THE COURT: Aren't there cases actually, though, that
have held that even if the government says, let's talk plea
negotiations and nothing picks up from there, that the failure
to communicate is not ineffective assistance.
         MS. KAHN: In this case, it wasn't the failure to
communicate. We're even a step more ineffective than that.
There was never even an offer sought.
```

1 THE COURT: Is that a stronger case or a weaker case? 2 I'm not sure. 3 MS. KAHN: I believe it's a stronger case, because if you -- at least you took a step to negotiate and at some point 4 5 along the line you made some sort of decision that maybe that wasn't a very good offer for your client. There was some 6 7 attempt to resolve the case. Here, it was just absolutely never 8 pursued. 9 And, you know, I have an expert affidavit --10 THE COURT: You're contending it was, in fact, at 11 least some form or something or other happened during jury 12 selection. 13 MS. KAHN: Well, the government approached counsel and 14 said, you can bring him in and you can proffer him. And they 15 thought, well, we're not going to do that now and they never 16 even asked Mr. Flood about that. And so, you know, it just --17 and at that point, at jury selection, an offer is probably not 18 going to be very good. 19 But they made an overture, so there was going to be 20 maybe some offer depending on what the proffer would be, but 21 they didn't even take it to the client. So, you know, as we 22 point in expert affidavit and Mr. Lawlor's affidavit, and it 23 simply just doesn't happen. So in government's response, they say, well, we 24 25 wouldn't have made him an offer without cooperation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
there's a couple problems with that. First of all, that's there
averment in their petition. It's not a sworn statement. It's
not testimony, so. It's not how we get evidence into the
record, so I don't think that we -- so I think we really need --
          THE COURT: I don't know exactly what was spoken to
McKenna or Lawlor? What words were spoken? What does he say?
         MS. KAHN: That Ms. Wilkinson said to them?
          THE COURT: Yeah, not what you think he might say if
we had a hearing some day, but what do you say now to try and
establish a colorable basis to even have an evidentiary hearing
on this point? It's very important. The words matter here in
terms of what's said.
         For example, let me just give you the hypothetical.
The government says to the defense counsel, are we ever going to
talk plea, at some point? Suppose those are the only words for
now.
         MS. KAHN: Mm-hmm.
          THE COURT: Well, that's touching on -- is there some
obligation on the part of the defense counsel to say, well, not
now or something like that; or yes, let's talk? Is that
omissive right there? Is that something you have to communicate
to the client, maybe we ought to talk plea while the jury is
being selected?
         MS. KAHN: If you're in a case where you're facing
mandatory life and the government is approaching you and
```

```
potentially offering something, I think you're absolutely remiss
 1
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Are they offering anything?
               MS. KAHN: They said, do you want to come and talk?
 4
 5
     You have to bring him in. And they just said, we're not doing
          They didn't ask Mr. Flood if he wanted to do that.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Okay.
 8
               MS. KAHN: So --
 9
               THE COURT: I don't think I have all that in Lawlor's
10
     affidavit, but that's what you're saying now. He said --
11
               MS. KAHN: It says -- his affidavit says, "During jury
12
     selection, I was approached by Ms. Wilkinson about the prospect
13
     of pleading. Mr. McKenna and I met with her and Ms. Johnston
14
     that same night after trial. We were informed that in order to
15
     receive an offer, Mr. Flood would have to proffer. Mr. McKenna
16
     and I discussed this and decided proffering at this stage of the
17
     case was too risky. We failed, however, to permit Mr. Flood to
18
     make an election about proffering. That said, we did discuss
19
     the notion of pleading guilty with him and he was not reluctant
20
     to do so."
21
               THE COURT: When did they talk about discussing the
22
     possibility --
23
               MS. KAHN: That is not entirely clear from the
     affidavit.
24
               THE COURT: Wouldn't that be significant if they said
25
```

then and there, we talked --1 2 MS. KAHN: Well, I imagine it had to be then and there 3 because they had never sought an offer, and they had no reason 4 not to seek an offer in this case. I mean, they're not going to 5 come in here when very likely --THE COURT: I need to follow exactly what you're 6 7 arguing. If there's a general statement, are you going to come in, but you have to proffer. And at that point, the defendant 8 9 is not totally resistant to a plea, isn't there something that's 10 being communicated then and there --11 MS. KAHN: Well, there might have been. 12 THE COURT: -- going forward. Just the idea of maybe 13 talking then and there, so there is a communication and there 14 is, at least, in the air plea discussions that never go 15 anywhere. And then there's a decision tactically made. We 16 didn't think -- maybe counsel rues it now, but we didn't think 17 it would make good sense at that stage of the game, that late 18 stage of the game. What was it going to get us? Nothing. 19 MS. KAHN: Well, A, they're absolutely right that 20 waiting until jury selection until the government walks over to 21 asks you is too late. Plea negotiations needed to happen early 22 and often in this case. 23 THE COURT: Government didn't have to offer anything, 24 right, ever? 25 MS. KAHN: Absolutely not. And if they had gone and

said, what are you offering us? And they said, bye-bye, we're 1 2 not listening to you or we're not going to listen to you without 3 cooperation and your client won't cooperate, that's in your notes, that's in your file, that's in your letters to the 4 5 client, we're done. None of that happened here. THE COURT: But they did say, you represented -- I 6 7 haven't heard from Ms. Wilkinson yet who is going to be arguing 8 her own cause, I guess, but you did say that they said he'd have 9 to come in and proffer. And they thought, not a good idea at 10 this stage. 11 So why isn't that a communication of something, not 12 necessarily a plea offer? Then when you go back to your client 13 and say, would you plea under the circumstances or would you 14 plea? And he says, well, maybe. Is that all some -- is that 15 all add up to ineffective assistance? Maybe it does in your 16 theory. 17 MS. KAHN: It does in my theory. None of that should have waited until jury selection. He was not told --18 19 THE COURT: It's because he didn't go to the 20 government before jury selection and say, can we talk, can we 21 talk plea? 22 MS. KAHN: Should have been going there years before 23 jury selection, can we talk plea? And I think that's what he would say now and what my expert counsel says now. That's what 24 25 everybody does.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I know that it's a standard part of practice and maybe the major part of criminal defense work in both federal and state court, but the question is under these circumstances whether you've got constitutionally ineffective counsel at that. MS. KAHN: I can't think of a stronger example of constitutionally ineffective of assistance of counsel when there's one way, basically, to save your client from mandatory life and you don't pursue it at all. THE COURT: Well, it sounds to me that one way to save your client from any kind of criminal conviction is never to go to the government and ask for anything about a plea. That's what you're saying in effect. That's what this adds up to. MS. KAHN: Oh, is the Court suggesting that you would roll the dice and get your client sentenced to mandatory life so you could go back and --THE COURT: Well, but you're saying that the mere failure of defense counsel to ask for a plea, to ask for plea negotiations ipso facto is ineffective assistance constitutionally speaking and upsets any verdict that follows. That's what you're arguing. And I'm asking you, then is it always in the interest of a defendant to have his counsel not ask for plea discussions? MS. KAHN: I have a couple responses to that. Absolutely not. That would be disbarrable conduct to throw a

trial where your client gets mandatory life in the hope that 1 2 you're going to get the blue elephant, pink elephant of relief 3 in 2255, which hardly ever happens and there are many, many ways 4 to make sure that doesn't happen. 5 The Court can inquire on the record, were there plea negotiations in the case? Was there an offer? Did you seek 6 7 one? What was it? Did you tell him, the client? Did you know 8 9 THE COURT: Well, the Fourth Circuit has come down pretty hard on the government saying -- the judiciary saying 10 11 anything about plea negotiations, believe me. I was in a case 12 sometime back and I don't know whether Ms. Wilkinson was, where 13 I suggested to a defendant, why don't you go and talk to the 14 government, see what they offer. And the Fourth Circuit said, 15 you can't do that, which I don't agree with, but nonetheless 16 that's what they've said. 17 MS. KAHN: Your Honor, I think the Court becoming involved in encouraging or discouraging negotiations is 18 19 different from putting --20 THE COURT: Well, can the Court say, have there been 21 plea negotiations? What was said when? And so, aren't I --22 wouldn't the Court be four square in the -- wouldn't I have to 23 be judging whether there had been an advance effective assistance of counsel by reason of the nature of the 24 25 conversation?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only reason I'm bringing this up is to MS. KAHN: put to bed the notion that somehow counsel is going to intentionally not seek a plea offer in the best interest of their client so that they can maybe save up for post-conviction later. You can simply inquire on the record, was there any negotiation in his case? Government did you extend an offer? Yes, we did. No, we didn't. Yes, we offered him 20. No, he wouldn't take it. Done for the record, over. THE COURT: I think that's problematic. I'm not sure the judge can do that. I really -- I'm concerned about it. MS. KAHN: Well, if the judge can't, I think we still go back to the fact that if anybody is going to commit complete professional conduct by not representing their client at trial, not seeking a plea so that they maybe can come back, get a new trial --THE COURT: Well, don't spend too much time on that. I just was sort of extending it out a little bit and just suggesting those -- that's sort of the inherent problem with the argument really. This is a little farther along the spectrum, but candidly not much. I mean, you're upsetting a trial years later, a major trial on a major crime by just suggesting that this casual and that's what it sounds like pretty much to me back and forth -- well, not even that. You're saying the failure to follow up on this casual, isn't that --

MS. KAHN: No, I think the casual is after the game is 1 2 over. THE COURT: Okay. So you're -- all right, we're clear 3 on this now that nothing was initiated prior by counsel and that 4 5 was constitutionally ineffective. Is that a fair statement? MS. KAHN: No offer was ever sought. They say they 6 7 had a client who is amenable. I don't know at what point they 8 bothered to ask him whether he was amenable, but he was amenable 9 at some point. And never told him, look, the government just 10 walked over. Do you want to proffer? Nothing. At that 11 point -- I mean, that's all that did happen and that was too 12 late. It should have all happened before. What do you want 13 from us? 14 So the government comes now and says, well, we 15 wouldn't have offered him anything if he didn't cooperate. He 16 hasn't said he would cooperate. There's no hard and fast rule 17 that says you have to cooperate, so there's no reason that 18 Mr. Flood would have now known that was part of the deal. 19 And these are, again, self-serving representations, 20 unsworn representations in a responsive pleading, which is why I 21 believe a hearing is required on that issue. If the government 22 is going to say --23 THE COURT: Tell me what a hearing would look like. I was trying to get my hands around that as I was preparing for 24 25 this. What do you expect to elicit at a hearing?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KAHN: Well, for example, the government would testify that, well, we never would have -- it's our policy that we never would have offered him anything in this case unless he cooperated. And you know, I could theoretically cross-examine on other cases where they have offered non-cooperation pleas if, for example, the client testifies. So, if they say, we never would have offered him anything without cooperation and the client says, well, I never would have cooperated, then I agree the claim is over. THE COURT: Was he maintaining his innocence, by the way, throughout the trial? MS. KAHN: Well, he was never offered a plea, so he went to trial. I mean, no, he didn't go in front of the jury and say, I did it, so, yes, but he -- you know. THE COURT: Let me ask you a question. Could one read on the basis of this case that a plea was -- plea discussions were never really in the picture? I mean, in a case like this as opposed to many? Candidly, I'm not sure why the government would have gone to him in the course of jury selection. It's a little bit peculiar to me and maybe Ms. Wilkinson can tell me why it came at that stage, if it came at all. MS. KAHN: I believe she doesn't recall that that conversation occurred, but that's a disputed matter that has to be resolved at a hearing, I believe. You know, if -- and what they say is not that it was never in the cards, we never would

```
have offered him anything. They do say that we would have
 1
 2
     demanded cooperation, but nobody ever asked Mr. Flood whether he
 3
     would cooperate. So again --
 4
               THE COURT: Well, it --
 5
               MS. KAHN: Sorry.
               THE COURT: I thought there was a line you read from
 6
 7
     Lawlor's affidavit that said, he wasn't totally resistant to a
 8
     plea.
 9
               MS. KAHN: He wasn't totally resistant to a plea. It
10
     doesn't speak to whether he wouldn't have been interested in
11
     cooperating or proffering, because he does say, they never told
12
     him about that. They never said, do you want to come in and
13
     proffer. They made that decision for him, that would be bad
14
     now.
15
               Now, whether that would be bad a year and a half ago,
16
     that's something you got to talk to your client about for a long
17
     time, right?
18
               THE COURT: This trial was -- we're talking about
19
     when, 2012?
20
               MS. KAHN: Five.
21
               THE COURT: Wow, going back a long way.
22
               MS. KAHN: Yep.
23
               THE COURT: What happened in 2012? He did something
     else?
24
25
               MS. KAHN:
                          Yeah.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Well, maybe the timeline has 1 2 something to do with it too. Frye has been decided since then. 3 MS. KAHN: Frye was decided between the petition and the reply, but you know, Frye didn't really change the game that 4 5 much. THE COURT: Well, they used the word "formal" anyway, 6 7 which is going to be a bit of a problem in this case. 8 MS. KAHN: Yeah, I mean, I don't think that -- you 9 know, Frye is on all fours with this case, because of course in 10 Frye there was an offer. Because just again, I just think it's 11 so unusual that nobody would even attempt. I mean, sometimes it 12 doesn't go very far. You know, sometimes somebody will go to 13 the government and they'll say --14 THE COURT: Go ahead. 15 MS. KAHN: They'll say, they're offering 30. They're 16 not going to move off of it. My client is not going to go for 17 more than 12 and it kind of, you know, it falls apart there. But to have no, no approach made, it's really fairly unique in 18 19 my experience and the numbers just don't bear that out. 20 When 95 percent of people are pleading, I don't think 21 those 95 percent came in and fell on their swords with no 22 agreement and we know that 95 percent of people aren't 23 cooperating. So there are offers being made regularly. And you 24 know, if the government doesn't want to go to defense counsel 25 and make an offer, which they could, then it's incumbent upon

```
defense counsel to go and ask for one.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: I assume you're familiar with the
 3
     Merzbacher case from the Fourth Circuit.
 4
               MS. KAHN: I am not.
 5
               THE COURT: You are?
               MS. KAHN: I'm not.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Does the government know about Merzbacher?
 8
               MS. KAHN: I am not.
               THE COURT: Oh, well, let me give you the cite.
 9
     706 F.3d 356, 706 F.3d 356, a 2013 case. "Failure to
10
11
     communicate a much firmer offer here, no ineffective
12
     assistance."
13
               MS. KAHN: I certainly agree, again, that there could
14
     be circumstances where the prejudice in that situation is
15
     different. Maybe they couldn't prove that the person would have
16
     taken the better offer or maybe they couldn't --
17
               THE COURT: Well, you can't do it either, can you?
     Can you do that -- you can't do that either. All you can say
18
19
     is, had -- had there been discussions and had there been a
20
     firmer texture given to the nature of the -- what the plea might
21
     be that the defendant would have accepted it. Are you arguing
22
     that?
23
               MS. KAHN: No, I can't say that because of the level
     of ineffectiveness here. In that case, at least the attorney
24
25
     made an effort. They got some kind of offer.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

```
THE COURT: Why is there qualitatively a difference?
If they get a firmer offer that they don't communicate. Here
they just -- it's a much flimsier, quote-unquote, offer and
really may not be an offer at all --
         MS. KAHN: Right.
          THE COURT: -- and they don't communicate it. Why is
this a stronger case?
         MS. KAHN: There is no offer in this case. That's why
it's a stronger case. In that case, at least counsel took one
step of ineffectiveness -- I mean, of effectiveness toward at
least attempting to get a plea. Whether he then failed
somewhere down the road and in that case --
          THE COURT: Because there was a firm offer in the
other case, it wasn't communicated, that's a weaker case than
this case where there wasn't a firm offer that wasn't
communicated.
         MS. KAHN: The weakness in this --
          THE COURT: Having trouble with the logic of that
argument frankly.
         MS. KAHN: The weakness and the strengths of the cases
depend on the facts of the cases. So, in that case it wasn't
communicated and maybe there's a letter in the file from the
client that says, I'd never take anything who -- I don't know.
You know, there's scores of cases like this and the fact
patterns are all different. I mean, there's one in the Fourth
```

```
Circuit where, again, like no -- sorry.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Well, if either of you read Merzbacher, I
 3
     want you to read it because it really gets a lot closer, I
 4
     think, to maybe what you're arguing.
 5
               I understand your argument now to clearly be that the
     total failure of defense counsel to initiate and try and pursue
 6
 7
     plea discussions is a constitutionally ineffective assistance in
 8
     this case.
 9
               MS. KAHN: And I think that our -- Mr. Flood should
10
     not have any less right to the effective assistance of counsel
11
     because of the double layers of ineffectiveness.
12
               If the counsel had gone and at least done something,
13
     and then made some strategic decision about not communicating.
14
     Here, I don't even get to get that far, because they didn't do
15
     anything. So, essentially, I feel like the Court is trying to
     say, well, if they had gotten an offer, you'd have an easier
16
17
     time proving their ineffectiveness. But they didn't get an
18
     offer, so I'm stuck and Mr. Flood is stuck with having nothing
19
     done on his behalf in the most critical portions of the
20
     negotiations.
21
               THE COURT: All right.
22
               MS. KAHN: Thank you.
23
               THE COURT: Let me hear from the government.
               MS. WILKINSON: Your Honor, as an initial proposition,
24
25
     it simply cannot be and nor do I think it to be true that every
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time a defense attorney doesn't ask for a plea offer they are constitutionally ineffective. I will proffer right now to the Court, it happens many times up in the U.S. Attorneys' office in Baltimore where the Federal Public Defenders office does not ask the government for a plea agreement. I'll give you an example of a case. A distribution of child pornography where the man-min is five years, no priors on the defendant and there is a pretty clear record up in Baltimore that the defendant is going to get five years no matter what. He takes the shot and goes to trial. Never asked us for a plea agreement. Goes to trial and maybe he gets a year more or whatever. I don't know, Your Honor. That's not even relevant. The point is, is that there are many occasions where defense attorneys do not ask for plea agreements. THE COURT: And that's why? MS. WILKINSON: Because they make their own strategic decision about either to proceed to trial because the client never even wants to go that far with the government, possibly. That's between them, because maybe it shows a sign of weakness. Maybe think they're going to roll the dice and see what happens before a jury. Or they're going to plead straight up because they want to plead straight up and make their own argument that a sentencing regime that's very different than it used to be

when I started in the U.S. Attorneys office when the guidelines

were mandatory. There are many reasons why a defense attorney

might never ask for a plea offer in a particular case.

My second point is here is that the burden is on the petitioner here. And if you read Mr. Flood's affidavit, he loses on its face, because he states here, all I wanted to do is avoid getting a life sentence. I was hoping I could get a plea and get a lower sentence. And I know my lawyers never asked the government about the possibility of a plea before trial.

What he never says in here is what Ms. Kahn believes is so ineffective about Mr. Lawlor that, first of all, that he didn't request a plea offer; but second, that he didn't somehow communicate the willing — the offer — I don't even want to use that word. The suggestion of cooperating in this case.

What Mr. Flood needed to put in this affidavit, if it was true, is that I was prepared to accept responsibility for my role in the offense and cooperate against Mr. Wilson and Mr. Lighty, and testify in order to get out from underneath a life sentence. Then we might be talking here, because then maybe Mr. Lawlor should have advised his client that the government had possibly made these overtures, which I frankly do not recall if we did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you ordinarily make verbal kinds of overtures before they get written? I guess you do.

MS. WILKINSON: All the time, Your Honor. That's plea negotiations, like -- the original conversation sometimes it comes from the government, do you want a plea offer? Sometimes

```
it comes from the defense. Hey, can you get me a plea offer?
 1
 2
     don't think my client is interested --
               THE COURT: Why so late at sentencing? It seems a
 3
     little unusual to me.
 4
               MS. WILKINSON: Sentencing? You mean at jury
 5
     selection?
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Sorry, at jury selection.
 8
               MS. WILKINSON: First of all, I don't recall this
 9
     happening, Your Honor, but I -- if it did, I -- perhaps because
10
     it's, you know, where the rubber meets the road so-to-speak or
11
     maybe -- I have no idea because I have no recollection of doing
12
     it.
13
               THE COURT: Do you make any -- do you even suggest the
14
     possibility of a plea discussion when you're at the point of
15
     selecting the jury?
               MS. WILKINSON: Oh, cases plead at the time of
16
17
     selecting a jury. Many times sometimes in opening statement and
18
     we'll make an offer at that point. I mean, every case is
19
     different.
20
               THE COURT: What kind of words do you typically use if
21
     it happens in that situation? Hey, would you like to talk about
22
     a plea or what? I'm not asking for this case, but you say it
23
     happens in other cases, so what's the typical dialogue.
               MS. WILKINSON: You mean in jury selection?
24
25
               THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, you see counsel where --
```

2

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. WILKINSON: You've seen everything. Come on, why is your client doing this? Let's just go to trial -- I mean, 3 let's just plead this. Why isn't he pleading? Because we 4 believe the evidence is so powerful and strong, or maybe we're waiting for the witness to show up and here they are. And come on, what are you doing? I mean, that might be something we 7 would say to a defense attorney. THE COURT: Do counsel sometimes say, not going to happen or --10 MS. WILKINSON: Yes, of course. I mean, I can give you scenarios of every situation that we just talked because every case is so different and every person is different. Every person charged with a crime is different. Every attorney is 14 different. Every strategic decision they make is different 15 here. But, Your Honor, I do want to talk to you about a 17 point that the Court made earlier too that I think the Court can take notice of the seriousness of this case. And the fact that we were pursuing the death penalty against Mr. Lighty who is the trigger man here, that the government would not have made a plea offer that did not include cooperation here. It just wouldn't have happened because of the nature of this case and Mr. Flood's involvement. Remember --THE COURT: He doesn't say, Flood doesn't say, I would 25 have testified against Lighty.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WILKINSON: Correct, unless he would testify against Mr. Lighty, because remember, we did not have that in this case. We did not have someone that was there and could tell us minute by minute what happened. This was a highly circumstantial, though compelling and powerful case against these particular defendants. But it wasn't like, for example -- I'll refer to a case that I tried before Your Honor, Higgs and Haynes, where we had a cooperator in the back seat who testified about what happened. We didn't have that in this case. I think the Court can take notice about that where we have three people involved in a brutal killing of a policeman's son involving a kidnapping across state lines where he's on his knees begging for his life; that unless you have something to offer us, we have no incentive to --Frankly, all of them deserve life sentences and that's exactly what should have happened in this case. THE COURT: Didn't you indict Flood for capital murder at first too? I thought you backed off of that. MS. WILKINSON: I can't remember the focus, but there was some point a no seek. And remember, at the very beginning of this case, Your Honor, where we did not know what was going on, Mr. Flood was even in the Grand Jury because we didn't know his involvement at that point, obviously. And I don't remember the sequence of events, but at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
some point we must have thought that Mr. Flood could be a
witness in this case and, actually, put him in the Grand Jury.
But of course he lied and that was part of the evidence at
trial.
         And I think that was the part of the evidence
involving his car as well, Your Honor, that we were able to
prove it through that scenario going back to another question
that the Court had.
          But here, more importantly, what we're looking at here
is a defendant who has not come forward and said that any of
this would have happened; that had he known that the government
said this to his lawyer, even assuming that it's true during
jury selection that he would have embraced that. He would have
come in, he would have proffered, he would have truthfully done
it. Where is the prejudice or harm here on a constitutional
ineffectiveness question under Strickland? The Court has to
make both findings here.
         First, the government argues that it cannot be that
there is an absolute rule that if you don't ask for a plea
agreement you are ineffective as a defense attorney. That
cannot be.
          THE COURT: That's the essence of the --
         MS. WILKINSON: They're argument.
          THE COURT: -- the claim here.
         MS. WILKINSON: That is absolutely the essence of the
```

```
1
     argument and it just cannot be. For the reasons also that the
 2
     Court suggested because, you know, why not come up and raise it
 3
     later then and say, oh, I never asked for a plea. You get a
     second bite because I didn't follow the rule of defense attorney
 4
 5
     work that you have to ask for a plea.
               Many attorneys choose not to ask the government for a
 6
 7
     plea offer. Maybe they're going to sit back and wait and see if
 8
     the government does. They don't want to negotiate against
 9
     themselves. Maybe they think they should just go to trial and
10
     roll the dice. Maybe their client is innocent and --
11
               THE COURT: Okay. That's an interesting thing though
12
     to consider. That's all true what you say, but we have an
13
     attorney who said, I goofed. I should have done it, I would
14
     have done it. Now, does he carry the day because he says that?
15
               MS. WILKINSON: No.
16
               THE COURT: You can argue why generically, you know,
17
     it wouldn't be -- and maybe that's the way the case gets
18
     resolved in the end. The mere fact of failing to initiate is
19
     not ineffective assistance. But this lawyer says, yeah, it
20
     really was. I should have done it and I've done it every time
21
     sense.
22
               MS. KAHN: Of course, his co-counsel didn't come in
23
     and provide the same thing --
               THE COURT: Well, he'll say the same thing. That's
24
25
     really again -- let me go back to my issue. I'm trying to
```

figure out what a hearing would look like. How much more meat 1 2 gets onto this claim in an evidentiary hearing that I don't have 3 now. Lawlor comes in or -- and they are decent lawyers. I 4 5 have no quarrel with either of them, but they -- I don't know how much you embellish. I mean, maybe you call Flood and he 6 7 says, oh, yeah, if I had known, I would have definitely pled to 8 cooperate. He says that now, hasn't said it in his affidavit. 9 Now, for me -- first of all, you know, hearings and 10 that's certainly what this argument today is about. This is not 11 the hearing so much, is to whether we should have a hearing 12 particularly on that one point. They're not the norm, they're 13 extraordinary. And right now there has to be some disputed 14 material fact. I'm not sure there's any disputed material fact 15 here. There has to be --16 Well, there are credibility issues which would open 17 up, I quess, at trial and it would take the form of a far more expansive statement about, quote-unquote, what happened than I 18 19 have now on the request for holding a hearing, which is just a 20 colorable claim. So, I'm dealing with those issues about 21 whether, frankly, there should be a hearing at all to go forward 22 on this. That's really the essence of where we are on this 23 argument today or whether it can be decided on the papers. MS. WILKINSON: I would submit that it can, Your 24 25 Honor. The government is telling the Court to accept as true

```
that it happened as Mr. Lawyer said it did, accept that as true.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Well, I don't know what Lawlor is going to
 3
     say at a hearing though. That's what I'm concerned about, not
 4
     through any sense of deliberate misrepresentation to the Court,
 5
     but these claims have a way of --
               The way a witness at trial ends up remembering a lot
 6
 7
     more at trial than they told you before trial, I just wonder.
     And same with Flood, he remembers a lot more now about what he
 8
 9
     would have done. Certainly, he probably says, he would say, I
10
     would have cooperated if it would have cut my sentence in half.
11
     Well, sure, we hear that all the time from people who regret not
12
     pleading.
13
               MS. WILKINSON: Or not cooperating.
14
               THE COURT: Or not cooperating. I mean, that's a
15
     fairly common argument.
16
               MS. WILKINSON: Well, and I certainly don't think it's
17
     fair now when Mr. Flood has already filed his affidavit setting
18
     forth what his position is. He never puts in here his
19
     willingness to accept responsibility and plead guilty in this
20
     case. What he's saying is, I hope -- I just didn't want to get
21
     a life sentence. He doesn't even say that he did it. You know,
22
     he doesn't put in here, I would have accepted responsibility, I
23
     would have proffered, I would have cooperated, I was willing to
     testify, I was willing to do all these things.
24
25
               And he certainly knows when he's filing the affidavit
```

that that's the issue in the case is that Mr. Lawlor is saying 1 2 he didn't relate that information to him, nor did he come 3 earlier and ask for a plea. And, Your Honor, I have to also say, like, Mr. Flood 4 5 was not a stranger to the criminal justice system either. And the idea that a defendant wouldn't say, hey, you know, I'm ready 6 7 to plead guilty and accept responsibility for this, that that 8 would happen, he doesn't say that here either. 9 He never says, I told my attorney, go get a plea --10 you know, ask for a plea. I mean, it's just common sense that 11 if that's the way you want to go, you would say that in here. 12 And that's what's missing in here. This is just Mr. Lawlor 13 saying, hey, I know now. I'm going to go ask for a plea in ever 14 case. 15 And you know what, I can't imagine that's true either. There are circumstances where a defense attorney would never ask 16 17 the government for a plea agreement, they just wouldn't. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kahn, anything further you 18 19 want to say on that point? 20 MS. KAHN: Yes, please, Your Honor. 21 Respectfully, there are no circumstances in which your 22 client is facing mandatory life at trial and the evidence is 23 very strong, and you do nothing to try to avoid that outcome. That's very different than not going to the government where 24 25 there's five years on the table for whatever reason.

1 qualitatively different. 2 And if we want to not make the claim so broad that 3 every time you don't seek a plea offer, you're per se 4 ineffective, you are when there's mandatory life on the table 5 and the Fourth Circuit has said as much. It's unpublished, but they said it in *United States versus Pender*, 514 Fed Appendix 6 7 359. 8 Now, in that case, it was factually distinguishable 9 because the government conceded we would have offered him something, which they're not doing here, but that raises the 10 11 point about the hearing. 12 The Court has heard a lot of testimony today from Ms. Wilkinson about what she would have offered and why she 13 14 wouldn't have offered it, and her experience with other people 15 not seeking pleas. And that's all testimony that needs to be 16 done at a hearing and I don't think the Court can rule on the 17 papers based on those unsworn statements as true as they may be. 18 That's just the way the law is. 19 And I just want to clarify one more point. 20 Ms. Wilkinson is very adamant that Mr. Flood had to say at this 21 point, I was guilty, I did it, I would cooperated. He doesn't 22

point, I was guilty, I did it, I would cooperated. He doesn't have to say he would have cooperated. Maybe in Ms. Wilkinson's practice that's a requirement for a plea, but it's not always a requirement for a plea.

23

24

25

So when he's filing his affidavit, he didn't know

about the government's various requirements. He said, I was 1 2 amenable to a plea. Now, whether that had conditions on it, 3 that can be established at a hearing, but he is under no 4 affirmative obligation to say that in his affidavit. 5 THE COURT: Well, the question, though, is whether I can decide as a matter of law, because I think you're making the 6 7 argument, that the failure of defense counsel to seek plea 8 discussions is generically, constitutionally defective. Or now 9 that you've refined it somewhat, in cases involving possible 10 life sentences, it's constitutionally ineffective. I mean, 11 that's on the table right now. I don't know what more testimony 12 from Ms. Wilkinson or Mr. Flood or Mr. Lawlor adds to that 13 concern. 14 I mean, I'm concerned about whether I'm prepared to 15 say, because I don't think any court has said yet, that the mere 16 failure of defense counsel to initiate plea discussions is 17 constitutionally ineffective and that's the main argument you're making or to try and circumscribe and say, okay, only in life, 18 19 possible life sentences or very serious crimes. I mean, these 20 are large propositions to float. 21 And the second concern and you can address this. 22 we ought to have a hearing. And there's some language in the 23 Fourth Circuit, yeah, hearings are good in certain kinds of circumstances, but hard for me to find an organizing principle 24

that would say in future cases, you don't have a hearing if the

issue is counsel never pursued plea discussions.

And here what Ms. Wilkinson is saying, it's maybe her experience and just a matter of informing the Court that this is the way life is out there, but there certainly are circumstances because I'm not involved in them that defense counsel don't ask for plea discussions, don't pursue them.

MS. WILKINSON: One would be, Your Honor, where their client is maintaining his innocence.

THE COURT: I don't have that experience, but if that's the statement, maybe we need to get a supplemental affidavit from the government that says, this is the way it is and here is why. But to hold a hearing is a major step that involves bringing in this defendant years after the fact to say whatever he may say now. I mean, right now he's sort of got a menu of things he can say.

What if he goes way beyond what he said in his affidavit? What am I going to do with that? Say, well, okay, it's what he says, but he didn't say it in order to try and get the — he didn't use magic words, even if he conceivably could, to get the hearing. And now at the hearing, he says a lot more; same with Lawlor and McKenna.

There's a principle here about whether hearings -when -- whether hearings should be given at all. We know they
are, but under what circumstances and is this one of those
situations, and I need to wrestle with this.

I understand your argument, the -- it's why we left 1 2 this claim really for last, because it's a little more texture 3 to it than I think, perhaps, some of the others, but we'll deal 4 with that as well. 5 So, I'm going to write an opinion on this. Maybe one of the last ones I squeeze out of Julie, I don't know, or most 6 7 of it. We're pretty far along, but I really need to think about this, this last point. And I may -- I may call for -- if I'm 8 9 really concerned about whether we should have a hearing, we may 10 need a supplemental affidavit from the government about 11 situations in which counsel do not seek plea discussions for 12 what kinds of circumstances and deal with that, because I think 13 that -- you may have to decide this case more generically than 14 specifically. I'm not sure that it's the kind of decision where 15 the Court would say, at least only in all circumstances it has 16 to be sought or -- talking about the Fourth Circuit -- or only 17 in certain limited circumstances plea discussions have to be 18 sought. 19 Those are large propositions and I don't get -- I 20 don't know now yet whether I'm prepared to say that a hearing is 21 the place to resolve all of this. Maybe just an affidavit about 22 what those circumstances about, as I say, when plea discussions 23 are not pursued by defendant and why. Anyway, under advisement. We'll let you know. 24

have -- Mr. Flood is in custody for some considerable time at

```
this point, so we're not losing much.
 1
 2
               All right. Anything further then this morning?
               MS. KAHN: No, thank you, Your Honor.
 3
               MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Let me actually -- let me not wait and see
     how I come out. Why don't I ask for this from the government
 6
 7
     now, because I won't get an opinion written that quick and you
 8
     can also respond, Ms. Kahn, if you will, but I would like an
 9
     affidavit from the government about the circumstances in which
10
     counsel have not sought plea discussions; what frequency, what
11
     kinds of case, what reasons. And that would be helpful to sort
12
     of see whether this is somehow inherently constitutionally
13
     deficient. I mean, maybe that's what we come to.
14
               MS. WILKINSON: Your Honor, and the way I'm hearing
15
     the Court, I don't know that it particularly pertains to me or
16
     Ms. Johnston having to do the affidavit, but just generally
17
     someone from our office.
               THE COURT: Somebody from your office needs to say
18
19
     something to give me a kind of a base on how that phase works,
20
     because I don't get involved in these discussions that often
21
     and, frankly, I'll stay 40 miles away from.
22
               MS. WILKINSON: That there are situations where
23
     defense counsel do not ask for a plea first.
24
               THE COURT: Can you get something in, like, the next
25
     20 days.
```

```
MS. WILKINSON: Certainly, Your Honor.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: And then you can have time to respond.
     I'll give you 20 days to file any kind of responsive paper that
 3
 4
     you want on that.
 5
               MS. KAHN: Just one question, Your Honor, and I'm just
     sort of thinking aloud about this. Would that limited to state
 6
 7
     cases where mandatory life is the consequence of going to trial?
 8
               THE COURT: If your response is that there are
 9
     cases -- I don't know if there's too many court cases. There's
10
     not that much for it. I didn't find it and we didn't find any
11
     decisions that say, the mere fact of failing to initiate
12
     discussions is constitutionally ineffective. That's a large
13
     proposition you're floating right now.
14
               But in terms of practice where what -- what you might
15
     say, if you have something to this effect, that there is no
16
     known case around the United States or somewhere regionally
17
     where a major crime was involved and in every case there was
18
     some initiation of plea discussions. However you want to cope
19
     with what the government says on that.
20
               MS. KAHN: Could I quickly add one more point, Your
21
     Honor?
22
               THE COURT: Yeah.
23
               MS. KAHN: I just want to -- in terms of the Court
     being concerned about making too broad of a rule. I mean,
24
25
     perhaps it's possible, as the Court pointed out, Mr. Lawlor
```

said, I just missed it. So maybe it's possible that there could 1 2 be, so Ms. Wilkinson's affidavit will offer various strategies 3 that counsel may rely on not to seek a plea. None of those strategies were offered here by counsel. 4 5 THE COURT: I asked that question, specifically, if you remember. What if counsel says, oh, I shouldn't have done 6 7 that and I goofed, and all that? Does that trump in any way? 8 Maybe not, I mean, because you're asking for kind of a generic 9 ruling in all cases where there are no plea discussions or at 10 least in cases with major crimes, there are no plea discussions. 11 This is what the Court should find is constitutionally 12 ineffective. That's what you're arguing. 13 And if I'm deciding those issues, then the specific 14 facts of this case wouldn't necessarily control, even though 15 client -- the lawyer may say, well, this is why I did or didn't 16 do it. I should have, but I never --17 You know, it never is the case that where the lawyer says, I messed up, that that's enough to carry the day for 18 19 ineffective assistance. And not because it's a lawyer, you 20 know, fabricating some sort of position necessarily, but it 21 doesn't really -- it's really not analytical in the end. I 22 mean, it doesn't really help you think through these kinds of 23 cases. And you may need a kind of -- when you're making a generic ruling, you need to think of the generic category of 24 25 cases that are involved. This or any other where there is no

```
1
     initiation of plea discussions, so, but whatever -- however you
 2
     think you want to come back at it, that's what I'm saying.
 3
               Take 20 days, Ms. Wilkinson, to come up with your
     supplemental affidavit. Doesn't have to be from you. Could be
 4
 5
     from somebody in your office, Ms. Wilkinson.
 6
               MS. WILKINSON: Yes.
 7
               THE COURT: And likewise, you come back 20 days after
     with whatever you have.
 8
               All right. Anything else?
 9
10
               MS. KAHN: No, thank you, sir.
11
               THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
12
          (Recess at 11:29 a.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER I, Linda C. Marshall, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Linda C. Marshall, RPR Official Court Reporter

1/	accept [5] 55/14 61/25 62/1 62/19 63/7	23/2 23/2 25/24 60/1 63/4 68/8
/	accepted [2] 51/21 62/22	altered [1] 19/3
/ s [1] 72/7	accommodate [1] 2/25	always [2] 44/22 64/23
	accordingly [2] 17/24 19/3	am [4] 12/16 51/4 51/8 66/17
0	across [1] 58/13	amenable [4] 47/7 47/8 47/8 65/2
02 4E7 [0] 1/E 0/0		Amandment [1] 4/// 4//0 4//0 03/2
03-457 [2] 1/5 2/3	act [3] 17/23 25/1 25/1	Amendment [1] 4/22
11	Action [1] 1/4	AMERICA [2] 1/4 2/4
	activity [1] 19/2	amount [1] 9/2
10:00 [1] 1/8	actually [17] 5/6 5/7 5/8 6/9 6/12 9/17	analogies [1] 5/14
11:29 a.m [1] 71/12	12/15 17/15 18/21 20/22 26/2 26/11	analogy [1] 16/17
12 [1] 50/17	29/15 37/15 38/19 59/2 68/5	analytical [1] 70/21
18 [1] 7/6	adamant [1] 64/20	another [8] 12/21 29/20 32/5 32/6 32/7
2	add [4] 6/8 20/8 43/15 69/20	35/12 36/14 59/7
	address [2] 26/17 65/21	answer [1] 28/15
20 [5] 46/7 68/25 69/3 71/3 71/7	addressed [2] 19/25 24/13	any [28] 9/5 10/12 12/10 15/12 15/16
2002 [1] 21/22	adds [2] 44/13 65/12	16/24 17/23 21/13 29/8 29/10 36/8 37/1
2012 [2] 49/19 49/23	admonished [3] 5/7 23/6 23/12	37/14 38/1 38/2 44/11 44/20 46/5 53/10
2013 [1] 51/10	advance [1] 45/23	56/13 59/10 61/14 62/4 65/15 69/3
2017 [1] 1/7	advised [1] 55/18	69/10 70/7 70/25
21201 [1] 1/14	advisement [1] 67/24	
		anybody [4] 9/14 9/20 27/10 46/12
21202 [1] 1/18	affidavit [30] 17/21 18/1 18/2 18/21	anyone [1] 29/21
2255 [1] 45/3	22/23 22/25 24/21 37/20 39/9 39/22	anything [25] 2/12 9/6 13/8 13/11 19/13
27 [1] 1/7	39/22 41/10 41/11 41/24 49/7 55/3	23/13 24/4 24/8 24/14 27/11 29/9 37/17
3	55/13 61/8 62/17 62/25 64/25 65/4	41/3 42/23 44/12 45/11 47/15 48/3 48/8
<u>J</u>	66/11 66/17 67/10 67/21 68/9 68/16	49/1 52/23 53/15 63/18 68/2 71/9
30 [1] 50/15	70/2 71/4	anything who [1] 52/23
301 [1] 1/23	affirmative [6] 5/5 10/19 11/13 12/4 12/8	
3229 [1] 1/23	65/4	anywhere [3] 18/7 36/16 42/15
344-3229 [1] 1/23	afield [1] 23/23	apart [1] 50/17
356 [2] 51/10 51/10	afraid [2] 8/8 23/18	apartment [1] 18/14
359 [1] 64/7	after [6] 21/23 36/12 41/14 47/1 66/13	apartments [1] 21/24
36 [1] 1/14	71/7	apologize [1] 7/20
4	afterwards [1] 30/1	apparently [1] 28/21
4	again [14] 13/2 13/3 15/14 16/17 19/17	APPEARANCES [1] 1/12
40 miles [1] 68/21	24/21 27/19 32/22 47/19 49/3 50/10	Appendix [1] 64/6
410-209-4921 [1] 1/15	51/13 53/1 60/25	approach [1] 50/18
410-299-6966 [1] 1/19	against [6] 55/15 57/19 57/25 58/2 58/5	approached [3] 37/15 39/13 41/12
457 [2] 1/5 2/3	60/8	approaching [1] 40/25
4921 [1] 1/15	age [1] 38/12	are [49] 4/9 5/8 6/21 16/23 18/4 18/6
5	ago [2] 13/17 49/15	22/20 23/5 23/8 26/18 32/5 37/8 37/16
	agree [6] 12/1 24/22 38/6 45/15 48/9	37/17 40/14 40/15 41/3 42/7 43/1 45/3
[5-D [1] 18/22	51/13	47/19 50/20 50/23 51/5 51/21 52/25
 514 [1] 64/6	agreed [1] 23/6	54/1 54/13 54/25 57/5 57/6 59/20 61/4
5E [1] 24/22	agreeing [1] 10/3	61/16 61/22 63/16 63/21 64/4 65/20
	agreement [5] 50/22 54/5 54/11 59/20	65/23 66/4 66/24 67/19 67/23 68/22
6	63/17	69/8 70/9 70/10 70/25
6966 [1] 1/19	agreements [1] 54/14	area [2] 28/23 36/9
60 [1] 27/15	ahead [2] 21/17 50/14	aren't [4] 10/13 38/19 45/21 50/22
7	AIDED [1] 1/24	argue [15] 2/18 3/8 3/12 4/18 5/24 8/9
	air [1] 42/14	9/18 20/4 20/7 20/11 21/2 29/12 29/13
706 [2] 51/10 51/10	alibi [2] 10/6 19/23	36/22 60/16
9	alive [1] 35/17	argued [4] 6/1 6/2 7/22 26/17
	all [50] 2/6 2/18 4/7 4/15 8/1 11/7 15/1	argues [1] 59/18
95 percent [5] 37/13 38/15 50/20 50/21	18/3 21/25 26/9 26/16 27/11 27/13 34/9	arguing [10] 10/3 12/8 12/8 30/1 42/7
50/22	36/10 37/19 38/6 40/1 41/9 43/14 43/15	43/7 44/21 51/21 53/4 70/12
Δ	44/9 47/3 47/11 47/12 48/21 50/9 51/18	argument [26] 3/16 4/24 9/9 9/22 10/16
Α	52/4 52/25 53/21 55/4 55/9 55/23 56/8	22/19 23/5 23/19 23/23 29/12 29/19
a.m [2] 1/8 71/12	58/16 60/12 61/9 61/21 62/11 62/24	31/22 35/16 36/20 46/19 52/19 53/5
able [3] 6/9 20/22 59/6	63/18 64/15 66/23 67/15 67/21 68/2	54/22 59/23 60/1 61/10 61/23 62/15
about [88]	70/7 70/9 71/9	65/7 65/17 67/1
above [1] 72/4	almost [1] 38/16	arguments [2] 21/9 36/19
above-entitled [1] 72/4	along [3] 39/5 46/20 67/7	around [4] 9/7 21/22 47/24 69/16
absence [2] 16/13 22/8	aloud [1]_69/6	arrive [1] 13/3
absolute [2] 21/4 59/19	already [7] 3/4 4/6 4/8 9/23 12/2 24/11	as [42] 4/17 7/21 9/1 11/7 11/7 11/12
absolutely [10] 5/2 14/9 14/15 14/21	62/17	13/17 14/19 14/25 15/17 17/2 17/8
39/7 41/1 42/19 42/25 44/25 59/25	also [11] 7/10 7/12 19/6 21/20 22/18	17/12 18/24 20/25 22/3 24/21 27/4 28/1
-	-	

Δ

as... [23] 30/6 31/6 32/6 33/2 36/3 37/3 37/9 39/21 47/24 48/18 53/24 59/6 59/20 61/25 62/1 62/1 64/5 64/17 64/17 65/6 67/4 67/22 69/25 as just [1] 27/4 aside [1] 4/15 ask [23] 29/24 41/6 44/12 44/18 44/18 44/23 47/8 48/15 51/1 54/1 54/4 54/14 55/1 59/19 60/5 60/6 63/3 63/10 63/13 63/16 66/5 68/6 68/23 asked [8] 31/23 32/2 39/16 49/2 54/10 55/6 60/3 70/5 asking [5] 35/19 35/23 44/21 56/22 70/8 asks [1] 42/21 aspects [2] 21/20 21/25 assaultive [1] 16/11 assistance [16] 3/9 6/6 10/21 13/15 29/24 36/23 38/22 43/15 44/7 44/19 45/24 51/12 53/7 53/10 60/19 70/19 **Assistant [1]** 17/8 assume [2] 7/17 51/2 **assuming [3]** 18/23 19/5 59/12 assured [1] 38/3 at [105] attack [1] 15/5 attempt [2] 39/7 50/11 attempting [1] 52/11 attorney [18] 1/13 12/10 12/11 17/8 18/19 19/17 20/23 32/22 51/24 54/1 54/25 57/7 57/13 59/20 60/4 60/13 63/9 63/16 Attorney's [1] 2/9 attorneys [3] 54/14 54/24 60/6 **Attorneys' [1]** 54/3

В

augment [1] 23/9

averment [1] 40/2

away [1] 68/21

avoid [2] 55/5 63/23 aware [1] 24/24

back [30] 2/17 2/19 3/25 23/8 25/11 27/24 28/4 28/23 29/2 29/21 30/5 33/24 35/8 36/5 36/5 36/12 36/23 43/12 44/16 45/12 46/12 46/14 46/24 49/21 58/9 59/7 60/7 60/25 71/2 71/7 backed [1] 58/19 background [3] 17/11 17/13 19/20 bad [4] 17/23 26/22 49/13 49/15 **bag [1]** 10/17 balance [4] 3/13 12/2 32/12 36/19 **Baltimore [4]** 1/14 1/18 54/4 54/8 bargain [1] 36/24 **base [1]** 68/19 **based [3]** 9/22 18/24 64/17 basically [6] 13/20 15/9 15/18 15/22 20/18 44/8 basis [2] 40/10 48/16 Batson [1] 3/14 be [68] 6/9 6/23 6/24 8/18 8/20 8/22 8/25 9/20 10/1 11/14 11/24 12/4 12/17 12/24 15/7 18/23 20/23 23/7 26/17 26/21 26/24 27/4 27/15 30/5 34/22 38/4 39/18 39/19 39/20 41/25 42/2 43/7

44/25 45/22 45/23 48/24 49/13 49/15 50/7 51/14 51/21 52/4 53/5 53/25 53/25 54/23 55/17 57/6 59/1 59/18 59/21 60/1 60/17 61/13 61/15 61/21 61/23 64/15 64/17 65/3 66/7 66/23 67/16 67/17 68/11 70/2 71/4 71/4 bear [1] 50/19 beaten [1] 26/21 became [1] 35/16 because [67] 2/16 9/20 11/3 13/9 14/7 16/16 16/17 17/21 18/7 20/5 22/7 24/16 24/19 25/2 25/4 26/20 28/9 28/12 29/2 30/8 30/10 30/11 30/12 30/20 31/6 32/16 32/17 34/2 36/12 38/14 39/3 42/3 43/19 49/11 50/9 50/10 51/23 52/13 53/3 53/11 53/14 54/16 54/17 54/19 54/21 55/4 55/17 56/9 56/11 57/3 57/11 57/22 58/2 58/23 60/2 60/4 60/14 64/9 65/6 65/15 66/5 67/2 67/12 68/7 68/20 70/8 70/19

becoming [1] 45/17

bed [1] 46/2

been [29] 6/4 6/16 12/25 16/5 18/5 19/5 19/9 22/6 28/21 29/6 29/19 29/20 30/21 31/20 32/17 33/4 33/7 33/8 36/9 37/3 38/2 42/11 43/22 45/20 45/23 49/10 50/2 51/19 51/19

before [23] 1/10 2/2 2/4 2/23 14/13 14/16 14/20 14/20 17/14 18/9 19/13 19/16 20/14 21/22 36/22 43/20 43/22 47/12 54/21 55/7 55/22 58/8 62/7 begging [1] 58/13

beginning [4] 8/14 8/16 15/18 58/21 **behalf [2]** 2/9 53/19

being [12] 4/23 9/7 10/13 11/24 14/16 20/22 26/20 31/11 40/23 42/10 50/23 69/24

belief [1] 22/17

believe [22] 2/14 6/2 6/17 10/15 12/1 13/8 16/6 16/7 16/13 16/14 25/23 25/24 31/17 36/18 37/5 38/12 39/3 45/11 47/21 48/22 48/24 57/4

4//21 48/22 48/24 5//4 believes [1] 55/8 bench [1] 7/17 benefit [1] 15/16 best [3] 16/4 19/18 46/3

better [3] 11/24 38/2 51/16 between [4] 12/8 20/21 50/3 54/19 beyond [3] 9/2 36/16 66/16

big [4] 3/21 10/4 10/22 34/8 **bit [6]** 14/4 19/20 30/10 46/17 48/20 50/7

bite [1] 60/4

blood [10] 27/24 28/7 28/17 33/11 34/22 35/3 35/7 35/8 35/15 36/12

bloody [3] 32/10 36/6 36/16

blue [1] 45/2 bolster [1] 16/9 both [2] 44/3 59/17 bothered [1] 47/8 bought [1] 14/10

boyfriend [3] 28/16 33/10 33/24 brief [4] 24/16 25/21 27/17 32/24

briefed [1] 3/18 briefly [3] 3/12 3/17 21/16

briefs [1] 3/16

bring [3] 37/18 39/14 41/5 bringing [2] 46/1 66/13 brings [1] 32/9 broad [3] 16/4 64/2 69/24 brought [3] 31/19 36/5 36/11 brutal [1] 58/12 bunch [1] 33/14

burden [4] 11/13 12/9 12/18 55/2 but [77]

but [77] buy [1] 16/23 bye [2] 43/1 43/1 bye-bye [1] 43/1

IC

cake [3] 5/15 5/16 16/17 call [5] 8/2 12/12 32/22 61/6 67/8 called [9] 14/19 19/5 22/6 27/23 28/5 31/5 31/6 31/9 31/12 calling [1] 34/1 calls [1] 26/3 came [10] 27/23 27/24 28/4 28/16 33/12 35/8 36/5 48/21 48/21 50/21 can [43] 3/17 5/20 6/10 8/5 8/22 11/1 15/3 18/23 19/18 20/4 20/25 25/10 38/18 39/14 39/14 43/20 43/20 43/23 45/5 45/20 46/4 46/5 46/10 46/14 48/20 51/17 51/18 51/18 56/1 57/10 57/17 58/11 60/16 61/23 61/24 64/16 65/3 65/6 65/21 66/15 68/8 68/24 69/2 can't [19] 9/3 11/8 11/12 13/8 17/9 20/23 23/7 25/25 32/16 33/1 38/5 44/6 45/15 46/11 51/17 51/18 51/23 58/20 63/15 candidly [3] 27/6 46/21 48/18 cannot [6] 20/23 37/21 53/25 59/18 59/21 60/1 capital [1] 58/18 car [24] 6/10 6/19 8/6 13/19 13/20 14/7

| car [24] 6/10 6/19 8/6 13/19 13/20 14/ | 14/9 14/9 14/12 14/13 14/16 14/17 | 15/10 15/11 15/13 16/24 20/12 25/2 | 25/5 25/8 25/15 26/12 33/25 59/6 | cards [2] 20/10 48/25

cards [2] 20/10 48/25 cared [1] 17/16 careful [1] 11/24 carry [2] 60/14 70/18 carrying [1] 38/17

case [72] 2/3 6/25 9/3 16/11 17/21 19/11 19/18 21/12 26/25 30/21 32/8 34/18 37/2 37/21 38/16 38/17 38/23 39/1 39/1 39/3 39/7 40/24 41/17 42/4 42/22 45/6 45/11 46/6 48/3 48/16 48/17 50/7 50/9 51/3 51/10 51/24 52/7 52/8 52/9 52/9 52/12 52/14 52/14 52/15 52/21 53/8 54/6 55/1 55/12 56/18 56/22 57/12 57/18 57/22 58/3 58/5 58/8 58/10 58/17 58/22 59/2 60/17 62/20 63/1 63/14 64/8 67/13 68/11 69/16 69/17 70/14 70/17 cases [21] 5/11 15/2 15/3 38/13 38/15 38/19 48/5 52/20 52/21 52/24 56/16 56/23 65/9 65/25 69/7 69/9 69/9 70/9

38/19 48/5 52/20 52/21 52/24 56/16 56/23 65/9 65/25 69/7 69/9 69/9 70/9 70/10 70/23 70/25 casual [3] 46/23 46/25 47/1

cat [1] 10/17 category [1] 70/24 cause [1] 43/8 cautioning [1] 11/22

cell [1] 26/7 **cellphone** [1] 26/3 cellphones [1] 26/2 central [1] 26/25 certain [6] 2/22 8/22 15/2 15/3 65/23 67/17 certainly [20] 2/25 8/3 8/21 9/10 10/18 17/5 19/12 20/4 21/2 26/1 31/7 32/14 35/17 51/13 61/10 62/9 62/16 62/25 66/4 69/1 CERTIFICATE [1] 72/1 certify [1] 72/2 challenging [1] 6/24 change [1] 50/4 changed [1] 18/15 character [16] 4/9 4/17 12/22 13/2 13/4 16/1 16/5 16/8 16/9 16/10 17/23 18/19 19/5 19/10 21/19 22/4 characterizing [1] 13/25 charged [2] 35/18 57/13 **Charles [1]** 1/14 child [1] 54/7 **choose** [1] 60/6 Circuit [7] 45/9 45/14 51/3 53/1 64/5 65/23 67/16 circumscribe [1] 65/18 circumstances [13] 43/13 44/4 51/14 63/16 63/21 65/24 66/4 66/24 67/12 67/15 67/17 67/22 68/9 circumstantial [8] 6/9 9/3 9/7 20/8 36/3 36/6 36/15 58/5 cite [1] 51/9 cited [1] 5/11 claim [26] 3/8 3/13 3/22 4/1 4/2 4/8 4/15 4/17 4/23 4/23 10/17 11/2 11/11 11/12 11/13 12/3 13/13 29/5 32/12 36/25 48/9 59/24 61/2 61/20 64/2 67/2 claims [7] 2/18 3/11 3/12 3/13 4/3 4/21 clarify [3] 22/8 23/2 64/19 clear [4] 37/3 41/23 47/3 54/8 clearly [2] 5/22 53/5 client [33] 12/11 15/6 20/24 20/25 37/19 38/18 39/6 39/21 40/22 43/3 43/5 43/12 44/8 44/11 44/15 45/1 45/7 46/4 46/13 47/7 48/6 48/8 49/16 50/16 52/23 54/17 55/18 56/2 57/2 60/10 63/22 66/8 70/15 closer [1] 53/3 closing [5] 14/22 14/25 29/12 31/22 31/25 clothes [3] 27/25 28/7 33/11 cluster [2] 2/23 4/21 co [1] 60/22 co-counsel [1] 60/22 **collective [2]** 4/12 36/22 **colloquy [1]** 21/7 colorable [2] 40/10 61/20 come [26] 3/25 8/4 13/6 16/21 18/18 29/18 30/5 41/4 42/5 42/7 43/9 45/9 46/14 49/12 57/1 57/5 59/10 59/14 60/2 60/22 63/2 68/6 68/13 71/2 71/3 71/7 comes [8] 2/4 5/19 35/5 35/7 47/14 55/25 56/1 61/4

coming [3] 13/5 16/18 38/3

commission [1] 14/8 **commit [2]** 24/25 46/12 common [3] 12/7 62/15 63/10 communicate [7] 38/22 38/24 40/21 51/11 52/2 52/6 55/11 communicated [4] 42/10 52/14 52/16 52/22 communicating [1] 53/13 communication [2] 42/13 43/11 compelling [2] 18/9 58/5 competence [1] 4/13 complete [1] 46/12 COMPUTER [1] 1/24 COMPUTER-AIDED [1] 1/24 concede [6] 15/3 24/15 24/17 24/18 25/3 26/19 conceded [7] 13/21 14/24 24/9 24/18 24/22 25/1 64/9 conceding [5] 4/10 13/15 13/23 15/4 26/19 conceivably [1] 66/19 concern [2] 65/13 65/21 concerned [6] 11/7 46/10 62/3 65/14 67/9 69/24 concession [2] 27/6 27/14 **concessions** [2] 15/6 15/8 **conclusion** [2] 5/20 10/15 conditions [1] 65/2 **conduct [2]** 44/25 46/13 conference [1] 7/17 **confused [2]** 29/14 35/16 **confusing [1]** 30/10 confusion [1] 30/1 connected [1] 11/8 **connection** [1] 10/25 consequence [1] 69/7 consequences [1] 37/8 consider [5] 29/10 31/2 32/5 32/7 60/12 considerable [1] 67/25 consideration [1] 31/3 conspiracy [4] 13/22 15/15 18/10 24/25 constitutional [2] 12/13 59/15 **constitutionally [13]** 29/23 44/4 44/7 44/20 47/5 53/7 54/2 65/8 65/10 65/17 68/12 69/12 70/11 contending [1] 39/10 contest [1] 26/24 context [2] 19/18 20/19 contradictory [1] 31/15 contributed [1] 17/1 contributing [1] 16/20 control [1] 70/14 controvert [1] 14/17 conversation [3] 45/25 48/23 55/24 convict [2] 15/15 15/19 convicted [2] 20/9 37/13 conviction [7] 6/15 13/22 15/15 15/23 37/11 44/11 46/4 **convoluted** [1] 32/18 cooperate [7] 43/3 47/15 47/16 47/17 49/3 55/15 61/8 cooperated [6] 48/4 48/9 62/10 62/23 64/21 64/2 cooperating [5] 49/11 50/23 55/12 62/13

cooperation [6] 39/25 43/3 48/5 48/8

49/2 57/21 cooperator [3] 9/16 27/9 58/9 cope [1] 69/18 correct [9] 7/25 14/9 14/11 14/15 26/4 28/14 28/24 58/1 72/3 **correctly [1]** 9/12 **could [29]** 5/13 6/22 7/12 10/18 12/5 16/5 19/6 19/9 25/3 26/16 27/4 29/20 30/5 31/14 31/20 31/20 36/9 44/16 48/4 48/15 50/25 51/13 55/5 58/3 59/1 66/19 69/20 70/1 71/4 couldn't [5] 9/18 27/8 28/22 51/15 51/16 counsel [66] 2/6 4/22 5/7 5/18 5/22 6/4 6/23 7/21 7/23 8/19 10/24 12/23 13/5 13/21 15/3 15/9 15/23 17/9 23/4 23/6 26/19 27/5 28/1 29/24 31/5 31/9 31/22 33/1 35/24 36/2 36/15 37/1 37/14 38/10 39/13 40/14 40/19 42/16 43/24 44/5 44/7 44/18 44/22 45/24 46/2 47/4 50/24 51/1 52/9 53/6 53/10 53/12 56/25 57/8 60/22 65/7 65/16 66/1 66/5 67/11 68/10 68/23 70/3 70/4 70/6 71/11 counsel's [1] 38/7 counts [1] 2/22 couple [4] 3/1 14/1 40/1 44/24 course [17] 3/4 19/8 20/7 20/9 25/4 26/8 27/1 29/7 32/23 33/13 34/21 38/7 48/19 50/9 57/10 59/3 60/22 court [55] 1/1 1/23 2/3 2/5 3/1 3/15 3/17 5/6 7/13 7/14 10/3 11/10 11/16 12/6 18/24 20/1 20/15 21/7 21/13 23/5 23/14 23/15 26/1 32/17 32/19 32/23 37/3 44/3 44/14 45/5 45/17 45/20 45/22 53/15 54/3 57/17 57/17 58/10 59/8 59/16 60/2 61/25 62/4 64/12 64/16 65/15 66/3 67/15 68/15 69/9 69/23 69/25 70/11 72/1 72/8 covered [2] 24/10 24/12 credibility [5] 16/10 16/16 17/1 22/16 crime [12] 14/8 14/14 19/7 19/7 19/7 28/2 28/23 30/17 36/9 46/22 57/13 69/17 crimes [2] 65/19 70/10 criminal [9] 1/4 2/3 19/2 37/4 37/5 37/13 44/2 44/11 63/5 critical [2] 37/4 53/19 cross [11] 4/12 17/23 19/4 20/2 20/4 21/8 30/19 33/1 33/3 33/4 48/4 cross-examination [5] 4/12 19/4 20/4 33/3 33/4 cross-examine [2] 17/23 48/4 cross-examined [2] 30/19 33/1 custody [1] 67/25 cut [1] 62/10 damaging [1] 5/12

date [1] 33/9 **Davis** [1] 18/11 day [10] 21/23 21/24 21/25 28/13 33/25 35/7 38/12 40/9 60/14 70/18 days [5] 14/13 68/25 69/3 71/3 71/7 deal [5] 17/5 20/10 47/18 67/3 67/12 dealing [1] 61/20 death [2] 7/9 57/19

D	57/14 63/24 64/1
do cont [1] C1/4	differently [1] 5/16
decent [1] 61/4	direct [2] 9/5 33/19
decide [2] 65/6 67/13	directed [1] 21/9
decided [6] 3/4 4/8 41/16 50/2 50/3	direction [1] 11/17
61/23	directly [3] 9/11 13/1 18/21
deciding [1] 70/13	disagrees [1] 11/1
decision [13] 17/19 18/20 24/20 26/22	disbarrable [1] 44/25
27/4 27/4 39/5 42/15 49/13 53/13 54/17	discouraging [1] 45/18
57/14 67/14	discuss [1] 41/18
decisions [2] 19/18 69/11	discussed [3] 13/17 37/19
defective [1] 65/8	discussing [2] 17/14 41/21
defendant [17] 1/7 1/17 2/7 2/13 2/18	discussion [1] 56/14
2/19 10/24 42/8 44/22 45/13 51/21 54/8	discussions [19] 42/14 44/
54/9 59/10 63/6 66/13 67/23	51/19 53/7 65/8 65/16 66/1
defendant's [2] 19/11 33/20	67/17 67/22 68/10 68/20 6
defendants [3] 37/10 37/13 58/6	70/9 70/10 71/1
defended [1] 27/4	disputed [3] 48/23 61/13 6
Defenders [1] 54/4	disregard [2] 10/9 11/4
defense [48] 4/21 4/24 5/22 6/4 7/21	distinction [4] 10/1 10/4 11
7/24 12/10 13/21 14/3 14/7 15/16 15/23	distinguishable [1] 64/8
16/23 17/2 17/9 19/17 19/23 20/23 21/7	distribution [1] 54/6
23/4 26/11 29/13 30/14 30/15 31/5 31/9	DISTRICT [3] 1/1 1/1 1/11
31/18 32/19 33/1 40/14 40/19 44/2	DIVISION [1] 1/2
44/18 50/24 51/1 53/6 54/1 54/14 54/25	do [59] 3/1 8/4 8/9 8/11 8/1
56/1 57/7 59/20 60/4 63/16 65/7 65/16	16/15 18/2 20/24 20/25 21
66/5 68/23	23/19 23/22 23/22 23/24 2
defenses [3] 31/15 31/15 31/17	24/22 33/14 35/10 37/18 3
deficient [1] 68/13	40/9 41/4 41/6 41/20 45/15
definitely [1] 61/7	47/12 47/25 49/1 49/12 50/
deliberate [1] 62/4	51/18 51/18 53/14 53/25 5 55/19 55/22 55/25 56/13 5
deliberations [1] 32/8 deliver [4] 5/10 18/23 19/24 23/16	57/8 57/16 62/24 63/23 66
demanded [1] 49/2	68/16 68/23 70/16
demonstrate [2] 11/23 12/12	does [18] 5/15 6/8 6/25 13/
demonstrates [1] 6/1	23/16 33/17 38/13 40/6 43
depend [1] 52/21	43/25 49/11 51/7 54/4 60/8
depending [1] 39/20	doesn't [22] 9/20 12/12 16/
deserve [1] 58/16	19/23 36/8 39/23 45/4 48/2
deviate [1] 15/23	50/12 50/24 54/1 57/24 57
dialogue [1] 56/23	62/22 63/8 64/21 70/21 70
dice [3] 44/15 54/20 60/10	doing [7] 16/22 30/4 41/5 5
did [55] 5/2 5/10 6/17 9/6 9/14 10/20	57/6 64/10
12/6 12/6 13/3 17/10 17/21 18/13 20/8	don't [73]
20/9 20/15 20/15 23/7 24/17 24/25 28/2	done [17] 16/12 23/13 29/1
29/8 29/12 29/13 30/3 30/4 30/17 34/10	43/5 46/8 53/12 53/19 59/1
36/6 36/16 36/17 38/10 41/18 41/21	60/14 60/20 60/20 62/9 64
43/6 43/8 45/6 45/7 45/7 46/6 46/7	door [1] 37/10
47/11 48/14 49/23 55/20 55/21 56/9	double [1] 53/11
57/21 58/2 58/3 58/22 62/1 62/21 63/2	doubt [2] 9/2 14/18
64/21 70/15	Douglas [2] 18/14 21/24
didn't [63] 3/10 5/25 8/4 8/9 8/11 8/11	down [4] 14/4 37/25 45/9 5
9/16 10/6 10/24 11/5 11/18 11/21 13/6	draw [3] 5/21 28/4 33/14
13/10 15/19 17/9 20/2 20/5 20/24 20/25	during [8] 14/8 18/24 21/19
21/2 21/10 22/24 23/4 23/19 23/22	39/11 41/11 59/12
23/22 23/25 24/15 27/8 27/9 30/12	IE .
30/12 30/18 30/20 33/9 39/21 41/6 42/16 42/16 42/23 43/19 46/7 47/15	oorlier [2] 22/25 57/17 62/2
48/13 50/4 53/14 53/17 55/10 55/10	earlier [3] 33/25 57/17 63/3 early [1] 42/21
58/10 58/18 58/23 60/4 60/22 62/20 63/2 64/25 66/18 66/19 69/10 69/10	easier [1] 53/16 easily [1] 12/5
70/15	East [1] 1/18
difference [2] 20/21 52/1	Edward [1] 2/4
different [20] 8/9 23/19 23/20 26/14 28/7	effect [3] 33/22 44/13 69/1
32/20 32/21 33/15 45/19 51/15 52/25	effective [2] 45/23 53/10
54/23 56/19 57/12 57/12 57/13 57/14	effectively [2] 10/13 11/25

4 63/24 64/1 rently [1] 5/16 ct [2] 9/5 33/19 cted [1] 21/9 ction [1] 11/17 otly [3] ⁻9/11 13/1 18/21 grees [1] 11/1 arrable [1] 44/25 ouraging [1] 45/18 uss [1] 41/18 ussed [3] 13/17 37/19 41/16 ussing [2] 17/14 41/21 ussion [1] 56/14 ussions [19] 42/14 44/23 48/16 9 53/7 65/8 65/16 66/1 66/6 67/11 7 67/22 68/10 68/20 69/12 69/18 9 70/10 71/1 uted [3] 48/23 61/13 61/14 egard [2] 10/9 11/4 nction [4] 10/1 10/4 11/18 12/7 nguishable [1] 64/8 ibution [1] 54/6 **TRICT [3]** 1/1 1/1 1/11 **SION [1]** 1/2 **59]** 3/1 8/4 8/9 8/11 8/11 14/5 16/10 5 18/2 20/24 20/25 21/14 22/5 9 23/22 23/22 23/24 23/25 24/4 22 33/14 35/10 37/18 37/23 39/15 9 41/4 41/6 41/20 45/15 46/10 47/10 2 47/25 49/1 49/12 50/2 51/17 8 51/18 53/14 53/25 54/14 55/4 9 55/22 55/25 56/13 56/13 56/20 3 57/16 62/24 63/23 66/17 67/11 6 68/23 70/16 **[18]** 5/15 6/8 6/25 13/24 13/25 6 33/17 38/13 40/6 43/15 43/17 25 49/11 51/7 54/4 60/8 60/14 70/7 sn't [**22**] 9/20 12/12 16/20 16/21 23 36/8 39/23 45/4 48/22 49/10 2 50/24 54/1 57/24 57/24 62/21 22 63/8 64/21 70/21 70/22 71/4 g [7] 16/22 30/4 41/5 56/11 57/2 64/10 t [73] **[17]** 16/12 23/13 29/18 31/21 38/1 5 46/8 53/12 53/19 59/14 60/13 4 60/20 60/20 62/9 64/16 70/6 **[1]** 37/10 ole [1] 53/11 ot [2] 9/2 14/18 glas [2] 18/14 21/24 **n [4]** 14/4 37/25 45/9 52/12 **/[3]** 5/21 28/4 33/14 ng [8] 14/8 18/24 21/19 22/3 37/16 <u>1 41/11 59/12</u> er [3] 33/25 57/17 63/3 **/[1]** 42/21 er [1] 53/16 l**y [1]** 12/5 **[1]** 1/18 ard [1] 2/4 c**t [3]** 33/22 44/13 69/15

effectiveness [1] 52/10 effort [4] 34/10 37/2 37/14 51/25 either [14] 2/12 13/12 15/22 16/21 18/17 31/21 51/17 51/18 53/2 54/17 61/5 63/5 63/8 63/15 election [1] 41/18 elements [1] 24/23 elephant [3] 34/8 45/2 45/2 elicit [1] 47/25 else [3] 36/21 49/24 71/9 **embellish** [1] 61/6 embraced [1] 59/13 empty [2] 22/9 22/16 enclosing [1] 21/2 **encouraging [1]** 45/18 end [7] 5/19 8/15 21/7 29/1 29/3 60/18 70/21 ends [1] 62/6 engaged [1] 21/7 enough [5] 13/22 15/15 15/19 20/19 70/18 entirely [1] 41/23 entitled [1] 72/4 Eric [1] 7/9 **Esquire [2]** 1/13 1/17 **essence [5]** 29/2 29/4 59/22 59/25 61/22 essentially [6] 5/22 26/18 30/10 33/17 36/18 53/15 establish [1] 40/10 established [3] 8/11 23/22 65/3 **establishes** [1] 38/11 even [33] 13/4 19/16 21/6 23/23 26/25 27/5 29/21 29/24 31/6 32/16 32/23 33/1 33/15 35/17 38/17 38/20 38/24 38/25 39/16 39/21 40/10 46/24 50/11 53/14 54/12 54/18 55/11 56/13 58/23 59/12 62/21 66/19 70/14 event [1] 6/20 events [1] 58/25 ever [6] 40/14 42/24 45/3 47/6 49/2 63/13 every [14] 21/23 21/24 21/24 53/25 56/18 57/11 57/12 57/12 57/12 57/13 57/14 60/20 64/3 69/17 everybody [1] 43/25 everybody's [1] 37/22 everyone's [1] 17/7 everything [1] 57/1 evidence [100] evidentiary [2] 40/10 61/2 exact [2] 6/21 36/14 exactly [5] 20/1 34/7 40/5 42/6 58/17 examination [8] 4/12 19/4 20/4 27/15 31/7 33/3 33/4 33/20 examine [2] 17/23 48/4 examined [2] 30/19 33/1 example [11] 6/22 15/4 19/23 26/13 27/8 40/13 44/6 48/1 48/6 54/6 58/7 except [2] 11/23 23/14 excerpts [1] 14/1 excuse [1] 18/11 exist [2] 16/21 21/10 expansive [1] 61/18 expect [1] 47/25 expecting [1] 11/14 **experience [4]** 50/19 64/14 66/3 66/9

forego [1] 27/5 greater [1] 15/5 **foregoing [1]** 72/2 Greenbelt [1] 1/6 expert [3] 39/9 39/22 43/24 form [2] 39/11 61/17 guess [4] 15/10 43/8 55/22 61/17 **extend** [1] 46/6 formal [1] 50/6 **guidelines** [1] 54/24 forth [4] 2/17 2/20 46/24 62/18 extending [1] 46/17 guilt [7] 4/11 6/1 13/23 15/3 19/19 24/10 extraordinary [1] 61/13 forward [4] 30/1 42/12 59/10 61/21 27/14 **extremely [1]** 32/11 four [4] 2/19 4/6 4/8 45/22 guilty [8] 5/22 19/7 24/17 31/3 41/19 62/19 63/7 64/21 fours [1] 50/9 Fourth [8] 1/14 45/9 45/14 51/3 52/25 guy [6] 13/8 13/11 15/21 16/1 22/2 32/9 **F.3d [2]** 51/10 51/10 64/5 65/23 67/16 guys [1] 37/16 gymnastics [2] 33/15 35/5 fabricating [1] 70/20 frankly [7] 19/19 33/11 52/19 55/19 face [1] 55/4 58/16 61/21 68/21 facing [2] 40/24 63/22 frequency [1] 68/10 fact [14] 5/9 31/2 32/19 36/4 38/9 39/10 fresh [1] 17/6 had [61] 6/18 6/18 6/18 7/8 7/9 8/6 46/12 52/24 57/18 60/18 61/14 61/14 front [3] 17/19 19/16 48/13 10/18 11/19 11/19 12/2 12/25 13/1 66/13 69/11 Frye [6] 37/6 50/2 50/3 50/4 50/9 50/10 13/18 13/18 13/19 13/19 13/19 14/10 Frye was [1] 50/3 facto [1] 44/19 14/13 15/22 15/24 16/19 19/5 20/9 facts [4] 26/13 26/18 52/21 70/14 fulfilled [1] 7/5 20/10 21/3 21/10 22/11 22/13 24/18 factually [1] 64/8 further [4] 10/12 24/4 63/18 68/2 25/2 25/7 25/19 27/24 29/6 29/18 31/14 fail [2] 4/2 12/18 future [3] 21/9 21/9 65/25 32/19 32/25 33/24 35/7 35/8 40/9 42/2 failed [3] 37/14 41/17 52/11 42/3 42/3 42/25 45/23 47/7 51/19 51/19 failing [5] 3/9 4/11 37/1 60/18 69/11 51/19 53/12 53/16 55/19 58/9 59/8 failure [12] 4/9 4/17 12/8 18/22 38/21 game [4] 42/17 42/18 47/1 50/4 59/11 61/7 64/20 65/2 general [1] 42/7 hadn't [2] 11/6 23/13 38/23 44/18 46/25 51/10 53/6 65/7 generally [2] 15/5 68/16 generic [3] 70/8 70/24 70/24 half [2] 49/15 62/10 hands [1] 47/24 fair [4] 3/21 31/7 47/5 62/17 generically [3] 60/16 65/8 67/13 fairly [6] 8/13 8/22 12/7 29/21 50/18 happen [6] 39/23 42/21 45/4 47/11 57/9 62/15 get [32] 3/24 8/7 17/19 33/15 37/17 40/3 63/8 falls [1] 50/17 42/18 44/15 45/2 46/14 47/24 52/2 happened [18] 9/17 12/2 13/7 15/22 familiar [1] 51/2 52/11 53/14 53/14 53/17 54/9 55/5 55/6 20/6 22/20 27/10 39/11 43/5 47/12 55/16 55/22 56/1 60/3 62/20 63/9 66/10 far [8] 11/7 23/4 23/23 50/12 53/14 49/23 57/22 58/4 58/10 58/17 59/11 54/18 61/17 67/7 66/18 66/20 67/19 68/7 68/20 68/24 61/18 62/1 farther [1] 46/20 gets [5] 45/1 53/3 54/11 60/17 61/2 happening [1] 56/9 getting [2] 25/20 55/5 fast [1] 47/16 happens [5] 45/3 54/3 54/20 56/21 Fed [1] 64/6 girlfriend [4] 25/23 27/20 27/21 35/1 56/23 federal [3] 37/20 44/3 54/4 girlfriends [1] 25/22 hard [6] 12/25 21/23 22/1 45/10 47/16 feel [2] 5/16 53/15 fell [1] 50/21 give [9] 25/13 26/23 30/19 40/13 51/9 65/24 54/6 57/10 68/19 69/3 hard-working [1] 22/1 felony [1] 37/21 given [7] 15/23 18/3 26/20 32/1 32/24 hardly [1] 45/3 figure [2] 32/16 61/1 harkened [1] 33/24 51/20 66/23 file [3] 43/4 52/22 69/3 giving [2] 15/14 17/13 harm [1] 59/15 glance [1] 7/3 filed [2] 18/8 62/17 has [22] 8/11 8/19 15/7 15/18 21/13 go [37] 2/17 2/19 2/21 4/16 10/12 18/21 filing [2] 62/25 64/25 22/12 29/18 29/19 37/3 45/9 48/23 50/1 find [4] 65/24 69/10 69/10 70/11 21/17 24/7 24/9 25/11 27/13 31/7 36/16 50/2 59/10 59/16 61/13 61/15 62/17 36/21 36/23 42/14 43/12 43/19 44/11 64/5 64/12 65/15 67/15 finding [2] 4/10 24/10 44/16 45/13 46/12 48/13 50/12 50/12 findings [1] 59/17 hasn't [5] 8/10 23/20 23/21 47/16 61/8 fine [1] 4/19 50/14 50/16 50/24 51/1 54/18 57/2 60/9 have [156] firm [2] 52/13 52/15 60/25 61/21 63/9 63/11 63/13 haven't [2] 3/18 43/7 goes [3] 54/10 54/11 66/16 firmer [3] 51/11 51/20 52/2 having [5] 2/18 6/4 52/18 53/18 68/16 first [15] 2/7 3/25 4/23 5/17 17/6 19/25 going [98] **Hayes [9]** 7/10 18/11 20/12 28/19 29/7 gone [4] 33/24 42/25 48/19 53/12 23/8 37/20 40/1 55/9 56/8 58/19 59/18 30/20 32/10 36/4 36/7 good [23] 2/8 2/10 4/9 4/17 8/19 12/24 Haynes [1] 58/8 61/9 68/23 five [4] 49/20 54/7 54/9 63/25 13/2 13/8 13/11 16/8 16/9 16/10 16/19 he [178] flat [1] 33/12 17/16 18/18 21/18 21/20 22/4 39/6 **he'd [1]** 43/8 flimsier [1] 52/3 39/18 42/17 43/9 65/23 he'll [2] 26/19 60/24 goofed [2] 60/13 70/7 he's [25] 5/22 6/14 6/23 7/1 8/2 8/25 float [1] 65/20 floating [1] 69/13 got [9] 2/22 15/11 15/12 15/18 16/24 9/23 12/15 13/8 13/9 13/11 15/21 20/2 FLOOD [82] 20/14 20/14 20/17 20/20 22/1 22/2 31/3 44/4 49/16 51/25 66/14 gotten [2] 35/8 53/16 58/13 62/20 62/25 64/25 66/14 **Flood's [16]** 6/19 8/23 13/4 13/18 18/10 19/19 26/3 31/10 31/22 33/25 34/23 government [85] hear [15] 2/18 3/16 4/24 10/19 10/23 government's [10] 6/7 6/24 9/1 11/21 35/5 35/6 35/8 55/3 57/22 16/19 17/3 17/15 21/20 21/21 21/25 Floor [1] 1/14 focus [2] 34/9 58/20 follow [5] 6/10 10/24 42/6 46/25 60/4 12/9 29/5 30/11 32/13 39/24 65/1 22/2 32/13 53/23 62/11 Grand [6] 13/20 14/16 14/20 14/20 heard [13] 5/20 10/18 13/11 16/24 17/8 58/23 59/2 18/14 19/6 23/12 28/17 32/3 33/10 43/7 following [1] 27/15 grant [1] 15/20 64/12

great [3] 15/18 15/21 16/1

hearing [29] 2/5 8/25 12/17 12/24 28/12

follows [1] 44/20

how [17] 5/11 8/9 16/23 17/7 18/11

hoping [1] 55/5

Н hearing... [24] 40/9 40/10 47/21 47/23 47/25 48/24 61/1 61/2 61/11 61/11 61/19 61/21 62/3 64/11 64/16 65/3 65/22 65/25 66/12 66/20 66/20 67/9 67/20 68/14 hearings [4] 61/9 65/23 66/22 66/23 held [1] 38/20 help [1] 70/22 helped [1] 31/18 helpful [1] 68/11 her [17] 27/19 28/15 28/16 30/7 31/9 31/11 32/5 33/10 33/19 33/19 33/24 34/22 36/2 41/13 43/8 64/14 66/2 here [58] 4/9 5/18 5/19 11/9 13/13 14/2 15/8 17/8 18/22 20/1 20/17 20/19 21/1 21/6 21/8 23/3 24/18 27/4 31/6 32/18 33/16 37/10 37/11 37/17 38/4 39/7 40/11 42/5 43/5 51/11 51/24 52/2 53/14 55/2 55/3 55/4 55/8 55/17 57/5 57/15 57/20 57/21 59/9 59/9 59/15 59/17 59/24 61/15 62/18 62/22 63/8 63/11 63/12 64/10 66/2 66/12 66/22 70/4 Here's [1] 2/16 hey [5] 21/8 56/1 56/21 63/6 63/13 Higgs [1] 58/8 high [2] 28/23 36/9 highlight [1] 32/19 highly [2] 29/7 58/4 **him [36]** 6/10 9/7 9/14 9/20 10/11 10/13 11/22 14/19 16/19 27/9 32/9 34/14 36/4 36/5 36/11 36/12 37/18 39/14 39/14 39/25 41/5 41/19 45/7 46/7 47/8 47/9 47/15 48/3 48/7 48/19 49/1 49/12 49/13 59/2 63/2 64/9 himself [1] 18/19 his [70] 2/18 5/25 6/19 7/21 8/6 12/17 13/10 13/19 14/7 14/7 14/9 14/12 14/14 14/16 14/17 15/11 15/11 15/12 15/13 15/13 16/9 16/24 16/24 17/21 17/24 18/1 18/18 18/21 19/20 20/4 20/18 20/19 21/3 21/8 22/1 22/23 22/23 25/23 25/25 26/5 26/7 26/12 26/12 27/24 28/7 33/11 34/11 35/7 35/9 37/20 41/11 44/22 46/6 48/10 53/19 55/18 58/13 58/13 58/24 59/6 59/12 60/22 61/8 62/17 62/18 62/18 64/25 65/4 66/8 66/16 **hmm [1]** 40/17 hold [3] 3/20 25/20 66/12 holding [1] 61/19 home [2] 32/10 35/7 homicide [1] 18/16 honest [1] 17/25 honestly [1] 17/9 Honor [51] 2/10 3/23 4/14 6/3 7/18 8/8 10/10 11/1 16/7 17/5 18/8 18/12 18/22 19/16 21/6 21/16 22/7 24/3 24/6 24/15 24/17 25/14 25/20 25/25 27/1 27/12 27/17 29/4 32/15 35/5 35/22 45/17 53/24 54/12 55/20 55/23 56/9 57/16 58/8 58/22 59/6 61/25 63/4 63/20 66/7 68/3 68/4 68/14 69/1 69/5 69/21 **HONORABLE [1]** 1/10

hope [2] 45/1 62/20

18/11 18/13 18/15 23/18 32/15 35/24 36/17 40/3 61/1 61/6 68/6 68/19 however [6] 4/19 8/2 10/16 41/17 69/18 71/1 hypothetical [1] 40/13 I see [1] 6/16 l'd [1] 52/23 I'II [8] 2/25 17/10 24/1 28/9 54/6 58/7 68/21 69/3 l'm [58] 3/8 3/10 3/10 3/11 3/12 4/3 4/4 5/18 6/3 6/13 8/8 10/25 11/3 14/5 14/12 14/22 16/6 22/9 22/11 22/14 22/21 23/9 23/18 25/20 25/25 29/3 29/4 29/18 32/15 32/23 35/4 35/23 39/2 44/21 46/1 46/9 46/10 48/18 51/6 53/18 56/22 60/25 61/14 61/20 62/3 63/6 63/13 65/14 65/14 66/5 67/5 67/8 67/14 67/20 68/14 69/5 70/13 71/2 **l've [2]** 17/7 60/20 idea [5] 26/18 42/12 43/9 56/11 63/6 identify [1] 2/6 if [73] **III [1]** 2/4 imagine [2] 42/2 63/15 impeachment [2] 19/9 19/10 implicating [1] 30/9 implication [2] 32/4 35/11 implied [1] 10/18 implying [3] 10/5 10/7 15/10 important [5] 10/1 22/4 27/2 27/7 40/11 importantly [5] 15/7 18/8 19/17 21/11 59/9 impression [5] 29/6 29/19 30/19 31/1 36/13 in [233] inappropriate [1] 21/11 incentive [1] 58/14 incident [1] 28/12 include [1] 57/21 including [1] 3/13 inconsequential [1] 33/12 incumbent [1] 50/25 indicated [4] 19/1 28/5 28/10 28/11 indicating [1] 38/9 indict [1] 58/18 indicted [1] 37/24 indictment [1] 4/7 ineffective [31] 3/9 6/6 10/13 10/20 11/24 12/12 13/14 21/11 29/23 33/8 36/23 37/1 38/22 38/24 43/15 44/4 44/7 44/19 47/5 51/11 53/7 54/2 55/9 59/20 60/19 64/4 65/10 65/17 69/12 70/12 70/19 ineffectiveness [10] 12/1 16/14 16/16 38/7 38/11 51/24 52/10 53/11 53/17 59/16 inference [1] 33/13 information [1] 63/2

informed [1] 41/14 informing [1] 66/3

inherent [1] 46/18

inherently [1] 68/12

initial [1] 53/24 initiate [5] 38/10 53/6 60/18 65/16 69/11 initiated [1] 47/4 initiation [2] 69/18 71/1 innocence [21] 5/5 5/8 5/9 5/13 5/25 8/21 8/23 10/19 11/12 11/14 11/23 12/5 12/8 12/17 13/10 15/20 16/25 21/4 23/10 48/10 66/8 innocent [16] 4/25 5/2 5/19 6/14 6/23 7/1 8/24 9/1 9/19 12/11 12/15 13/10 20/3 20/20 20/23 60/10 innocuous [1] 23/7 inquire [2] 45/5 46/5 instruction [10] 4/11 27/15 29/9 29/24 29/25 31/21 31/23 31/24 32/1 32/17 intentionally [1] 46/3 interest [2] 44/22 46/3 interested [2] 49/10 56/2 interesting [1] 60/11 into [7] 15/8 18/1 19/24 24/9 33/24 35/8 40/3 involved [17] 18/16 28/21 29/6 29/10 29/20 32/5 32/6 32/7 35/12 36/3 36/14 45/18 58/11 66/5 68/20 69/17 70/25 involvement [3] 18/10 57/23 58/24 involves [1] 66/13 involving [5] 32/21 35/20 58/12 59/6 65/9 **ipso [1]** 44/19 irrefutable [1] 26/18 is [233] isn't [8] 3/3 19/22 26/20 28/24 42/9 43/11 46/25 57/3 issue [16] 3/4 12/22 13/4 17/6 19/25 19/25 21/15 23/8 24/7 24/9 25/18 26/25 47/21 60/25 63/1 66/1 issues [11] 2/17 2/17 2/23 3/2 3/17 3/25 17/4 24/5 61/16 61/20 70/13 it [198] it in [1] 20/15 it's [64] 2/24 4/22 6/8 7/6 8/9 11/16 12/7 15/3 15/4 15/10 16/4 17/6 20/19 20/20 22/9 22/16 22/18 23/10 23/19 23/23 24/12 26/25 27/1 27/7 30/10 32/17 33/9 37/22 37/23 37/25 37/25 38/14 38/15 39/3 40/2 40/2 40/3 40/11 43/19 44/1 48/2 48/19 50/10 50/18 50/25 52/3 52/9 56/10 59/12 62/16 63/10 63/25 64/5 64/23 65/10 66/2 66/18 67/1 67/2 67/14 69/25 70/1 70/19 70/21 item [2] 3/21 27/15 its [4] 11/13 12/18 33/2 55/4 itself [4] 7/14 22/10 22/16 38/10

JAMES [10] 1/6 2/4 7/8 7/9 14/2 14/6 21/21 21/21 22/3 22/11 January [1] 21/22 job [2] 16/19 17/16 Johnson [1] 3/16 Johnston [2] 41/13 68/16 joinder [1] 4/2 judge [4] 1/11 2/8 46/10 46/11 judging [1] 45/23 judiciary [1] 45/10 Julie [1] 67/6

41/9 49/7 lawyer [6] 59/12 60/19 62/1 70/15 70/17 July [1] 1/7 70/19 lawyers [2] 55/6 61/4 jumbled [1] 28/9 juror [2] 3/5 12/16 lay [3] 4/15 20/21 24/16 layers [1] 53/11 lead [1] 36/25 leap [2] 10/22 12/5 jury [53] 4/24 5/12 6/4 6/13 6/14 8/24 10/6 10/9 10/18 11/4 12/3 12/24 13/3 13/20 14/16 14/20 14/21 15/15 15/22 16/16 17/19 18/9 18/13 20/9 20/14 22/9 learning [1] 17/22 22/14 22/17 29/8 29/9 29/19 30/25 31/2 least [9] 39/4 39/11 42/14 51/24 52/9 37/16 39/11 39/17 40/22 41/11 42/20 52/11 53/12 67/15 70/10 43/18 43/20 43/23 48/13 48/19 54/21 leave [1] 32/8 56/5 56/7 56/15 56/17 56/24 58/23 59/2 leaves [1] 6/14 left [7] 6/3 27/23 29/5 29/19 31/1 36/13 59/13 jury's [2] 16/18 18/15 67/1 just [61] 2/19 11/8 12/3 12/20 13/14 less [2] 38/2 53/10 let [16] 17/3 17/4 17/5 18/21 25/10 29/2 13/17 14/13 15/16 16/25 19/13 21/16 21/18 22/7 23/2 23/9 23/11 23/12 24/16 32/13 33/16 40/13 48/15 51/9 53/23 26/13 27/4 27/17 28/9 28/20 29/22 32/8 60/25 67/24 68/5 68/5 let's [8] 2/19 4/15 27/13 36/20 38/20 33/13 39/7 39/16 39/23 40/13 41/5 42/12 46/17 46/17 46/22 47/9 50/10 40/20 57/2 57/3 50/10 50/19 52/3 57/2 57/3 57/11 57/21 letter [1] 52/22 60/1 60/9 61/19 62/7 62/20 63/10 63/12 letters [1] 43/4 63/17 64/18 64/19 66/3 67/21 68/16 level [1] 51/23 69/5 69/5 69/23 70/1 lied [7] 13/19 14/15 14/16 14/20 14/20 justice [3] 37/5 37/5 63/5 15/9 59/3 life [22] 22/1 37/9 37/21 38/2 38/3 38/17 40/25 44/9 44/15 45/1 55/5 55/17 58/13 KAHN [13] 1/17 1/17 2/10 2/24 19/25 58/16 62/21 63/22 64/4 65/10 65/18 21/14 26/17 32/18 33/14 35/15 55/8 65/19 66/4 69/7 63/18 68/8 **Lighty [18]** 14/10 14/13 15/11 15/12 **KARIN [1]** 1/17 25/6 25/8 25/19 30/16 30/16 31/16 keep [1] 10/13 31/16 32/25 34/1 34/9 55/16 57/19 Kenneth [2] 14/10 14/13 57/25 58/2 keys [1] 8/6 **Lighty's [11]** 28/1 30/16 30/17 31/9 kidnapping [4] 7/8 24/24 28/13 58/12 31/18 34/12 34/13 35/24 36/2 36/11 **killing [1]** 58/12 36/15 like [26] 3/17 5/12 5/14 9/6 16/17 16/25 kind [13] 13/13 17/19 29/8 33/14 44/11 50/17 51/25 56/20 67/14 68/19 69/3 16/25 24/21 26/19 27/5 30/18 35/22 70/8 70/23 40/20 46/23 47/23 48/17 52/24 53/1 kinds [7] 2/22 36/10 55/21 65/23 67/12 53/15 55/24 56/21 58/7 61/1 63/4 68/8 68/11 70/22 68/24 knees [1] 58/13 likely [2] 37/11 42/5 Knolls [2] 18/14 21/24 likewise [1] 71/7 limited [2] 67/17 69/6 know [83] knowing [1] 6/5 **limiting [5]** 4/11 27/15 29/25 31/21 known [5] 26/14 47/18 59/11 61/7 69/16 32/16 **LINDA [3]** 1/23 72/2 72/8 knows [1] 62/25 line [6] 20/2 21/8 30/12 33/16 39/5 49/6 line-up [1] 30/12 lack [1] 17/1 lines [1] 58/13 language [3] 7/4 37/6 65/22 listen [1] 43/2 large [3] 65/20 67/19 69/12 listening [1] 43/2 larger [4] 13/16 15/4 15/7 15/9 little [8] 7/1 19/20 30/10 46/17 46/20 last [4] 36/22 67/2 67/6 67/8 48/20 56/4 67/2 Latasha [6] 27/16 27/18 27/20 30/5 30/8 lived [2] 28/23 36/9 31/18 **LLC [1]** 1/17 late [4] 42/17 42/21 47/12 56/3 logic [1] 52/18 later [7] 7/15 17/20 27/24 35/8 46/5 long [4] 21/22 21/22 49/16 49/21 46/22 60/3 look [6] 20/18 27/5 37/16 47/9 47/23 launch [1] 2/15 61/1 law [3] 1/17 64/18 65/6 looking [1] 59/9 looks [1] 26/19 lose [1] 16/16 **Lawlor [13]** 17/21 30/4 37/20 40/6 55/9 55/18 61/4 62/2 63/1 63/12 65/12 66/21 69/25 loses [1] 55/4

losing [4] 22/16 22/17 37/8 68/1

Lawlor's [6] 21/5 22/19 24/21 39/22

lot [8] 9/7 26/14 37/24 53/3 62/6 62/8 64/12 66/20 lower [2] 15/4 55/6 М made [21] 11/11 11/19 12/5 12/7 18/20 18/24 26/3 31/14 33/2 39/5 39/19 39/25 42/15 49/13 50/18 50/23 51/25 53/13 55/19 57/17 57/20 magic [1] 66/19 main [1] 65/17 maintaining [2] 48/10 66/8 major [6] 44/2 46/22 46/22 66/12 69/17 70/10 make [21] 10/22 10/25 11/11 11/13 26/23 32/23 36/8 37/1 37/14 41/18 42/17 45/4 50/25 54/16 54/22 55/21 56/13 56/18 57/14 59/17 64/2 makes [2] 16/21 16/22 making [13] 9/4 13/15 19/18 20/15 21/9 22/16 22/18 23/11 27/5 65/6 65/18 69/24 70/23 man [9] 5/19 9/6 12/25 17/25 17/25 21/23 22/2 54/7 57/20 man-min [1] 54/7 mandatory [12] 37/9 38/2 38/3 38/17 40/25 44/8 44/15 45/1 54/25 63/22 64/4 69/7 many [12] 17/7 30/22 37/10 45/3 45/3 48/18 54/3 54/13 54/25 56/17 60/6 69/9 MARSHALL [5] 1/23 25/24 26/11 72/2 MARTA [3] 1/17 1/17 2/10 MARYLAND [4] 1/1 1/6 1/14 1/18 Massey [22] 4/12 27/16 27/18 27/20 28/3 28/5 28/6 28/6 28/11 30/5 30/8 30/14 30/15 30/15 30/19 31/5 31/18 32/20 33/2 33/3 34/5 34/5 Massey's [1] 30/11 massively [1] 11/16 match [1] 30/20 material [2] 61/14 61/14 Mathis [27] 27/23 27/23 27/24 28/2 28/6 28/7 28/16 30/6 30/17 31/17 34/6 34/7 34/10 34/12 34/14 34/16 34/19 34/25 35/6 35/12 35/13 35/16 35/20 36/3 36/5 36/11 36/13 Mathis' [6] 27/20 27/21 35/1 35/2 35/3 35/15 matter [9] 2/2 2/4 29/16 40/11 48/23 54/9 65/6 66/3 72/4 may [15] 4/18 7/3 10/1 13/14 27/5 52/4 64/17 66/14 67/8 67/8 67/9 67/13 70/3 70/15 70/23 maybe [42] 3/24 13/8 13/8 17/15 24/9 25/21 35/14 39/5 39/20 40/22 42/12 42/16 43/14 43/15 44/2 46/4 46/14

48/20 50/1 51/15 51/16 52/22 53/4 54/11 54/19 54/20 55/18 56/11 57/4

60/7 60/9 60/10 60/17 61/6 64/22 66/2 66/10 67/5 67/21 68/13 70/1 70/8

me [38] 5/23 7/4 10/16 17/3 17/5 18/11

18/21 19/13 23/11 25/10 25/13 27/19

29/2 29/22 32/13 33/5 33/16 35/22

McKenna [8] 22/18 22/25 23/15 30/4

40/6 41/13 41/15 66/21

M

me... [20] 37/21 40/13 44/10 45/11 46/23 47/23 48/15 48/20 48/20 51/9 53/23 56/1 56/4 60/25 61/9 65/24 68/5 68/5 68/15 68/19 mean [48] 6/16 10/11 10/12 10/17 11/24 12/3 12/7 15/3 16/4 16/9 18/11 21/5 23/18 27/1 28/6 29/13 31/14 32/11 33/11 36/17 37/7 42/4 46/21 47/11 48/13 48/17 50/8 50/11 52/10 52/25 56/5 56/18 56/24 56/25 57/2 57/6 57/10 61/6 62/14 63/10 65/10 65/14 65/19 66/14 68/13 69/24 70/8 70/22 means [1] 25/2 meat [1] 61/1 **meet [1]** 11/13 meets [1] 56/10 member [1] 16/20 mental [2] 33/15 35/4 menu [1] 66/15 mere [4] 44/17 60/18 65/15 69/11 merely [1] 6/3 Merzbacher [3] 51/3 51/7 53/2 message [1] 6/3 messed [1] 70/18 MESSITTE [2] 1/10 2/8 met [1] 41/13 middle [1] 29/25 might [13] 11/7 17/6 18/5 18/5 32/22 33/8 40/8 42/11 51/20 55/1 55/17 57/6 69/14 miles [1] 68/21 min [1] 54/7 mincing [2] 20/19 21/1 mind [3] 16/18 17/7 18/15 minute [4] 10/8 13/17 58/4 58/4 misrepresentation [1] 62/4 misrepresenting [1] 6/24 missed [1] 70/1 missing [1] 63/12 mistrial [2] 29/9 31/24 **Mm [1]** 40/17 Mm-hmm [1] 40/17 modified [1] 17/24 modifying [1] 17/20 moon [2] 22/12 22/13 more [26] 3/12 6/15 7/1 15/7 18/8 19/17 21/11 24/8 27/2 27/7 27/11 36/17 38/24 50/17 54/11 59/9 61/1 61/17 62/7 62/8 64/19 65/11 66/20 67/2 67/13 69/20 morning [4] 2/8 2/10 35/6 68/2 most [2] 53/19 67/6 mostly [1] 37/23 mother [1] 17/16 motion [4] 1/10 2/24 12/21 31/24 motions [1] 2/5 move [2] 4/2 50/16 Mr [22] 17/21 18/11 19/6 20/12 22/18 22/19 23/15 24/15 24/21 27/23 34/5 34/6 34/7 34/10 34/14 35/2 35/5 35/6 41/13 41/15 53/18 62/17 **Mr. [68]** 2/11 4/25 5/1 6/19 8/3 8/3 8/5 8/7 8/23 11/14 12/24 13/4 13/18 17/25 18/10 18/11 18/14 19/2 19/19 20/3 20/5

20/9 20/12 21/5 24/17 24/24 25/8 27/22

29/6 29/10 29/20 30/9 32/4 32/21 33/25 34/5 34/9 35/6 37/13 37/20 39/16 39/22 41/6 41/15 41/17 47/18 49/2 53/9 55/3 55/9 55/13 55/15 55/16 55/18 57/19 57/22 58/2 58/23 59/1 62/1 63/1 63/4 63/12 64/20 65/12 65/12 67/25 69/25 Mr. Davis [1] 18/11 Mr. Flood [39] 2/11 4/25 5/1 8/3 8/3 8/5 8/7 11/14 12/24 17/25 18/14 19/2 20/3 20/5 20/9 20/12 24/17 24/24 27/22 29/6 29/10 29/20 32/4 32/21 37/13 39/16 41/6 41/15 41/17 47/18 49/2 53/9 55/13 58/23 59/1 63/4 64/20 65/12 67/25 Mr. Flood necessarily [1] 30/9 Mr. Flood's [10] 6/19 8/23 13/4 13/18 18/10 19/19 33/25 35/6 55/3 57/22 Mr. Lawlor [7] 37/20 55/9 55/18 63/1 63/12 65/12 69/25 Mr. Lawlor's [2] 21/5 39/22 Mr. Lawyer [1] 62/1 Mr. Lighty [5] 25/8 34/9 55/16 57/19 58/2 Mr. Massey [1] 34/5 Mr. Wilson [1] 55/15 Ms [34] 2/24 9/12 17/3 19/25 21/14 24/14 25/24 26/11 26/17 28/11 32/18 32/20 33/2 33/3 33/14 35/15 40/7 41/12 41/13 43/7 45/12 48/20 55/8 63/18 64/13 64/20 64/22 65/12 66/2 68/8 68/16 70/2 71/3 71/5 much [17] 5/16 14/21 26/20 27/6 36/17 46/16 46/21 46/23 50/5 51/11 52/3 61/1 61/6 61/11 64/5 68/1 69/10 Mulberry [1] 1/18 multiplicitous [1] 4/7 murder [40] 18/10 20/6 25/16 27/9 28/8 28/13 28/18 28/19 28/21 28/22 29/6 29/7 29/20 30/20 30/22 30/23 31/1 31/3 31/4 32/5 32/6 32/7 32/10 32/20 32/21 33/5 33/10 33/18 34/3 34/4 35/12 35/20 36/4 36/7 36/7 36/12 36/13 36/14 38/17 58/18 murdered [1] 20/13 murders [2] 30/22 36/10 must [6] 11/14 28/5 28/20 30/21 35/9 59/1 my [26] 3/11 3/15 7/3 9/22 10/2 12/11 12/16 17/8 20/24 20/25 22/8 24/16 25/21 37/20 43/17 43/24 47/24 50/16 50/19 55/2 55/6 55/14 56/2 60/25 62/10 63/9

negotiating [1] 37/25 negotiation [2] 37/3 46/6 negotiations [10] 36/24 38/21 42/21 44/19 45/6 45/11 45/18 45/21 53/20 55/24 neutral [1] 7/2 never [41] 5/17 5/20 13/11 15/11 15/22 16/24 35/18 37/18 37/19 38/1 38/8 38/25 39/7 39/15 42/3 42/14 44/11 47/9 48/2 48/3 48/7 48/8 48/12 48/17 48/25 48/25 49/11 49/12 52/23 54/10 54/18 55/1 55/6 55/8 60/3 62/18 63/9 63/16 66/1 70/16 70/17 new [1] 46/14 news [3] 28/13 33/23 35/9 next [5] 9/19 24/7 27/13 28/13 68/24 **night [2]** 27/10 41/14 **no [64]** 1/4 3/15 3/19 7/8 7/9 8/11 9/11 9/16 10/10 13/1 14/14 14/18 15/22 17/11 18/17 18/17 19/15 21/14 22/7 23/22 23/24 24/6 27/12 30/15 32/5 32/21 35/13 35/18 38/6 38/6 38/8 38/14 38/17 42/3 46/7 46/7 47/1 47/6 47/16 47/17 48/13 50/18 50/18 50/21 51/11 51/23 52/8 53/1 54/7 54/9 56/11 56/11 58/14 58/21 60/15 61/5 63/21 65/3 68/3 69/15 70/9 70/10 70/25 71/10 nobody [4] 20/11 31/1 49/2 50/11 **non [2]** 17/25 48/5 non-cooperation [1] 48/5 non-violent [1] 17/25 None [3] 43/5 43/17 70/3 nonetheless [1] 45/15 nor [3] 24/24 53/25 63/2 norm [1] 61/12 not [144] notes [3] 1/24 3/11 43/4 **nothing [6]** 38/21 42/18 47/4 47/10 53/18 63/23 notice [2] 57/18 58/11 notion [2] 41/19 46/2 now [42] 2/2 5/4 21/19 23/3 25/25 28/10 28/11 28/23 39/15 40/9 40/16 40/20 41/10 42/16 43/24 43/24 47/4 47/14 47/18 49/14 49/15 53/5 54/2 60/14 61/3 61/8 61/9 61/13 61/19 62/8 62/17 63/13 64/8 65/2 65/8 65/11 66/14 66/14 66/20 67/20 68/7 69/13 number [4] 2/3 2/16 26/5 26/12 numbers [2] 3/11 50/19 numerical [1] 2/22

N

name [1] 35/5 names [1] 22/5 Natasha [1] 4/12 nature [6] 15/6 22/15 38/16 45/24 51/20 obviously [3] 3/13 32/25 58/24 57/22 near [1] 9/8 **necessarily [7]** 6/23 8/17 30/9 35/20 43/12 70/14 70/20 need [10] 15/6 22/12 40/4 42/6 66/10 66/25 67/7 67/10 70/23 70/24 needed [2] 42/21 55/13 needs [3] 26/1 64/15 68/18 negotiate [2] 39/4 60/8

objected [3] 5/5 7/20 23/5 objection [6] 5/6 10/16 23/6 29/2 31/11 31/14 obligation [2] 40/19 65/4

occasions [1] 54/13 occurred [3] 9/15 10/16 48/23 off [5] 3/20 14/10 15/17 50/16 58/19 offense [4] 15/5 15/5 24/23 55/15 offer [51] 4/9 4/17 5/2 5/12 6/6 37/17 38/6 38/6 38/8 38/8 38/18 38/25 39/6 39/17 39/20 39/25 41/15 42/3 42/4 42/23 43/12 45/6 45/14 46/3 46/6 47/6

out [21] 3/25 7/13 10/17 20/19 24/16 pink [2] 34/8 45/2 0 25/3 28/4 32/9 32/16 33/12 37/10 37/12 **PJM [2]** 1/5 2/3 offer... [25] 50/10 50/25 51/11 51/16 37/14 46/17 50/19 55/16 61/1 66/4 67/6 place [6] 5/17 6/10 6/15 9/14 14/14 51/25 52/2 52/3 52/4 52/8 52/13 52/15 68/6 69/25 67/21 outcome [1] 63/23 **planning [1]** 3/15 53/16 53/18 54/1 55/1 55/10 55/11 over [8] 24/19 29/21 37/11 42/20 46/8 55/25 56/1 56/18 57/21 58/14 60/7 64/3 plea [78] 70/2 47/2 47/10 48/9 plead [6] 54/21 54/22 56/16 57/3 62/19 overall [1] 15/16 offered [16] 5/15 5/17 12/23 15/12 32/25 63/746/7 47/15 48/3 48/5 48/7 48/12 49/1 overture [1] 39/19 pleading [6] 41/13 41/19 47/20 50/20 64/9 64/13 64/14 70/4 overtures [2] 55/19 55/22 57/3 62/12 overwhelming [1] 19/19 pleas [2] 48/5 64/15 offering [5] 5/8 41/1 41/3 43/1 50/15 offers [1] 50/23 own [3] 43/8 54/16 54/22 please [1] 63/20 office [9] 1/13 1/17 2/9 54/3 54/4 54/24 owner [1] 25/15 pled [2] 37/14 61/7 podium [1] 4/18 68/17 68/18 71/5 P **OFFICIAL [2]** 1/23 72/8 point [52] 6/17 7/15 7/16 8/18 10/11 often [3] 14/5 42/22 68/20 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S [1] 2/1 10/14 10/17 11/5 11/6 11/10 11/20 oh [11] 4/4 7/7 28/17 30/3 35/24 44/14 **page [1]** 7/6 11/21 12/2 15/23 18/20 19/1 20/10 painting [1] 36/2 pants [2] 35/7 35/9 paper [1] 69/3 51/9 56/16 60/3 61/7 70/6 20/15 22/8 23/13 27/11 27/14 27/18 okay [13] 3/7 7/19 12/19 17/3 22/22 29/15 29/22 33/2 35/17 39/4 39/17 35/11 36/20 41/7 47/3 50/1 60/11 65/18 39/22 40/11 40/15 42/8 47/7 47/9 47/11 66/17 papers [2] 61/23 64/17 54/13 55/2 56/14 56/18 57/17 58/21 paragraph [2] 18/22 24/22 omissive [1] 40/21 58/24 59/1 61/12 63/19 64/11 64/19 64/21 67/8 68/1 69/20 part [15] 7/9 7/9 12/21 13/15 15/3 15/8 on [116] once [3] 22/12 37/10 37/24 15/17 24/2 37/4 40/19 44/1 44/2 47/18 pointed [2] 37/12 69/25 one [34] 2/19 3/4 3/8 3/13 3/22 4/1 4/15 59/3 59/5 points [5] 7/12 21/18 24/18 26/21 26/23 participate [1] 24/25 policeman's [1] 58/12 5/20 8/11 13/14 17/9 18/19 19/22 22/24 particular [4] 18/16 21/15 55/1 58/6 23/22 25/13 25/22 34/9 34/10 38/17 policy [1] 48/2 44/8 44/10 45/7 48/15 51/1 52/9 52/25 particularly [3] 37/7 61/12 68/15 pornography [1] 54/7 61/12 64/19 66/7 66/24 67/5 69/5 69/20 partly [1] 9/22 **portion [1]** 21/19 ones [3] 4/16 23/5 67/6 path [1] 15/22 portions [1] 53/19 patterns [1] 52/25 only [13] 5/20 8/5 18/6 33/2 34/11 35/5 position [6] 10/2 24/23 30/11 31/6 62/18 peculiar [1] 48/20 37/4 38/18 40/15 46/1 65/18 67/15 penalty [1] 57/19 67/16 possess [1] 8/21 Pender [1] 64/6 **possessed [1]** 19/2 onto [1] 61/2 open [1] 61/16 pending [1] 2/2 possibility [5] 29/10 32/7 41/22 55/7 opening [20] 4/23 12/11 13/9 13/21 people [10] 18/17 21/25 22/5 26/4 30/17 56/14 14/22 14/23 17/7 17/14 18/23 18/23 50/20 50/22 58/11 62/11 64/14 possible [4] 65/9 65/19 69/25 70/1 19/20 20/18 20/24 21/1 21/5 21/10 per [1] 64/3 possibly [5] 18/13 18/15 35/12 54/18 22/18 23/4 23/23 56/17 percent [5] 37/13 38/15 50/20 50/21 55/19 opinion [2] 67/5 68/7 50/22 post [1] 46/4 opportunity [1] 32/25 perhaps [5] 26/22 29/25 56/9 67/3 69/25 post-conviction [1] 46/4 potentially [1] 41/1 permissible [1] 11/5 opposed [3] 9/1 11/12 48/18 or [70] 2/13 2/22 7/1 7/15 8/14 9/2 9/14 permission [2] 15/6 15/13 powerful [3] 24/19 57/4 58/5 10/23 14/22 16/11 16/19 16/21 17/10 permit [1] 41/17 practice [3] 44/2 64/23 69/14 permitted [2] 5/24 9/9 prejudice [8] 18/8 18/13 18/17 22/13 17/16 17/16 17/18 17/25 18/4 18/16 22/16 36/23 51/14 59/15 19/22 19/25 21/11 24/10 25/1 28/13 perpetrators [1] 13/18 **prejudiced [2]** 22/9 22/14 **prejudicial [7]** 10/20 16/14 29/8 29/21 28/13 29/9 29/9 30/4 30/6 30/21 31/21 person [13] 17/12 17/14 17/14 18/2 18/4 18/5 20/21 22/12 34/8 34/15 51/15 32/6 35/6 35/12 39/1 39/11 39/11 40/6 40/20 40/20 43/2 43/13 45/18 49/11 32/11 33/15 35/21 57/12 57/13 preliminary [1] 2/12 51/16 54/11 54/21 56/10 56/22 57/4 person's [1] 17/11 57/9 59/15 61/4 61/23 62/13 62/14 65/8 pertains [1] 68/15 prepared [5] 11/22 19/3 55/14 65/14 65/12 65/12 65/18 65/19 67/6 67/16 **PETER [1]** 1/10 67/20 preparing [1] 47/24 67/16 68/15 69/16 70/9 70/15 70/25 petition [8] 5/11 7/12 18/7 29/18 36/25 order [4] 15/5 41/14 55/16 66/18 37/12 40/2 50/3 present [3] 7/8 19/3 24/24 ordinarily [1] 55/21 petitioner [2] 19/16 55/3 **presented [1]** 18/9 organizing [1] 65/24 original [1] 55/24 **phase [1]** 68/19 presents [1] 19/22 **phone [12]** 6/19 13/18 14/7 15/11 15/12 **pretty [5]** 16/4 45/10 46/23 54/8 67/7 principle [2] 65/24 66/22 other [23] 4/16 13/13 17/13 17/23 19/2 16/24 25/2 26/3 26/5 26/6 26/7 26/12 26/11 29/6 29/7 30/22 30/23 31/1 31/3 prior [2] 25/19 47/4 pick [1] 17/4 31/19 33/5 34/15 35/20 36/12 39/11 picked [3] 32/9 36/4 36/11 priors [1] 54/7 picks [1] 38/21 probably [5] 13/22 15/15 29/17 39/17 48/5 52/14 56/23 64/14 70/25 others [3] 2/23 17/4 67/3 picture [1] 48/17 62/9 otherwise [1] 6/15 piece [4] 5/15 5/16 16/17 23/10 problem [2] 46/18 50/7 pieces [1] 13/21 ought [4] 3/24 26/17 40/22 65/22 problematic [1] 46/9 our [8] 3/25 18/22 19/3 24/23 37/13 48/2 piggybacking [1] 36/10 problems [1] 40/1 53/9 68/17 pin [2] 34/3 34/4 proceed [3] 7/21 7/23 54/17

proceedings [2] 1/10 72/3 process [2] 37/4 37/5 proclamations [1] 22/19 product [1] 38/7 professional [1] 46/13 proffer [8] 39/14 39/20 41/15 42/8 43/9 47/10 49/13 54/2 proffered [2] 59/14 62/23 proffering [3] 41/16 41/18 49/11 promise [3] 7/5 17/1 22/10 promised [2] 4/24 18/23 promises [2] 19/23 22/17 **promising [1]** 16/15 **prone [1]** 6/15 refer [1] 58/7 proof [3] 9/2 9/5 18/17 propensity [1] 16/11 proposition [5] 8/10 23/19 23/21 53/24 propositions [2] 65/20 67/19 prospect [1] 41/12 prove [8] 8/5 9/3 20/22 20/25 22/13 38/5 51/15 59/7 proved [3] 8/10 23/20 23/21 provide [4] 5/13 5/25 16/15 60/23 providing [1] 19/20 proving [1] 53/17 Public [1] 54/4 purchased [3] 14/13 25/8 25/19 relief [1] 45/2 purposes [3] 21/1 21/12 34/18 pursue [3] 44/9 53/6 66/6 rely [1] 70/3 pursued [4] 37/22 39/8 66/1 67/23 pursuing [1] 57/19 pushing [1] 25/3 put [18] 6/25 8/22 9/20 13/2 17/21 20/5 21/3 22/23 23/25 25/21 27/9 29/17 30/12 30/17 46/2 55/13 59/2 62/22 puts [5] 16/17 18/1 35/6 37/20 62/18 putting [2] 11/14 45/19 reply [1] 50/4 puzzled [1] 11/3 qualitatively [2] 52/1 64/1 quarrel [1] 61/5 question [9] 27/3 35/23 44/3 48/15 59/7 59/16 65/5 69/5 70/5 questioned [2] 28/3 28/4 questioning [2] 28/11 29/5 questions [1] 21/13 quick [2] 21/18 68/7 quickly [1] 69/20 quote [4] 5/9 18/18 52/3 61/18 quote-unquote [3] 18/18 52/3 61/18 raise [1] 60/2 raises [1] 64/10 63/7 rare [2] 38/14 38/16 rather [3] 2/17 12/17 32/8 read [9] 7/4 18/24 21/5 28/9 48/15 49/6 53/2 53/3 55/3

reading [1] 12/16

real [1] 21/21

ready [2] 2/15 63/6

really [30] 5/20 15/12 16/1 21/8 22/20

26/20 32/11 32/12 32/15 34/4 35/14 37/24 37/25 40/4 46/10 46/19 48/17 50/4 50/18 52/4 53/3 60/20 60/25 61/22 67/2 67/7 67/9 70/21 70/21 70/22 reason [5] 42/3 45/24 46/1 47/17 63/25 reasonable [1] 9/2 reasons [4] 12/13 54/25 60/1 68/11 recall [9] 17/9 19/1 25/10 29/15 33/1 33/9 48/22 55/20 56/8 receive [3] 5/15 6/5 41/15 Recess [1] 71/12 recollection [1] 56/11 record [8] 13/1 28/10 40/4 45/5 46/5 46/8 54/8 72/3 records [1] 26/6 S refined [1] 65/9 reflecting [1] 8/25 reflects [1] 10/2 refutable [1] 26/10 regard [2] 17/24 33/9 regarding [1] 4/11 regime [1] 54/23 regionally [1] 69/16 regret [1] 62/11 regularly [1] 50/23 relate [1] 63/2 relationship [1] 27/19 relevant [2] 22/20 54/12 reluctant [1] 41/19 remember [9] 25/25 28/22 34/2 57/23 58/2 58/20 58/21 58/25 70/6 remembered [2] 28/12 28/12 remembering [1] 62/6 remembers [2] 35/9 62/8 remiss [1] 41/1 repeatedly [2] 14/20 14/24 report [2] 33/23 35/9 reporter [4] 1/23 14/4 72/1 72/8 representations [2] 47/19 47/20 represented [1] 43/6 representing [1] 46/13 request [5] 4/11 29/8 38/10 55/10 61/19 required [1] 47/21 requirement [2] 64/23 64/24 requirements [1] 65/1 resistant [3] 42/9 49/7 49/9 resolve [3] 37/2 39/7 67/21 resolved [4] 4/6 38/15 48/24 60/18 Respectfully [1] 63/21 respond [5] 21/14 32/16 35/22 68/8 69/2 response [3] 32/13 39/24 69/8 responses [1] 44/24 responsibility [4] 55/14 62/19 62/22 responsive [2] 47/20 69/3 result [1] 17/12 returned [3] 28/6 28/6 28/7 reverse [1] 26/24 revisited [1] 31/25 right [38] 2/6 3/19 4/7 4/15 8/1 8/8 8/18 9/13 14/2 14/6 15/1 18/1 23/18 25/25 26/9 26/16 27/11 27/13 30/25 30/25

33/6 37/25 40/21 42/19 42/24 47/3 49/17 52/5 53/10 53/21 54/2 61/13 63/18 65/11 66/14 68/2 69/13 71/9 risky [1] 41/17 road [2] 52/12 56/10 role [1] 55/15 roll [3] 44/15 54/20 60/10 room [1] 34/8 RPR [1] 72/8 rubber [1] 56/10 rues [1] 42/16 rule [5] 47/16 59/19 60/4 64/16 69/24 ruling [2] 70/9 70/24 rulings [1] 18/24 running [1] 19/24

said [67] 4/25 5/4 5/9 5/10 5/21 7/14 7/21 7/24 8/8 9/23 10/9 10/12 10/23 10/23 11/4 11/5 11/19 12/15 13/1 13/7 13/25 15/9 15/24 16/5 16/25 18/3 18/5 19/6 20/11 21/10 21/19 22/11 23/14 23/15 23/17 28/17 32/3 33/22 37/16 39/14 40/7 40/12 41/4 41/5 41/10 41/18 41/25 43/1 43/1 43/8 45/14 45/16 45/21 47/16 49/7 49/12 59/10 59/12 60/13 61/8 62/1 64/5 64/6 65/1 65/15 66/16 70/1 sale [1] 25/6 same [10] 12/21 12/23 14/24 20/24 36/14 41/14 60/23 60/24 62/8 66/21 **SANDRA [2]** 1/13 2/8 save [5] 14/12 38/18 44/8 44/10 46/4 saw [7] 33/10 33/17 33/23 34/22 35/3 35/9 35/15 say [102] saying [29] 5/18 10/4 10/23 13/10 15/18 18/3 18/19 20/2 20/18 20/25 21/8 21/10 22/9 23/3 29/1 30/24 34/22 35/4 41/10 44/13 44/17 45/10 45/10 46/24 62/20 63/1 63/13 66/2 71/2 says [31] 12/10 14/1 18/22 28/20 28/22 34/21 36/8 38/5 38/20 40/14 41/11 41/11 43/14 43/24 47/14 47/17 48/8 52/23 55/8 60/14 60/19 61/7 61/8 62/9 63/9 66/11 66/18 66/20 69/19 70/6 70/18 scenario [1] 59/7 scenarios [1] 57/11 scene [6] 9/8 9/14 20/6 27/9 30/6 30/7 scent [1] 9/15 scores [1] 52/24 se [1] 64/3 seat [1] 58/9 seated [2] 14/2 14/6 second [7] 17/6 19/24 25/13 55/2 55/10 60/4 65/21 section [1] 28/10 see [16] 6/16 11/1 11/8 11/16 23/15 25/10 30/3 33/16 35/24 37/9 45/14 54/20 56/25 60/7 68/5 68/12 seeing [1] 33/24 seek [11] 3/9 37/2 38/17 42/4 45/6 46/3 58/21 64/3 65/7 67/11 70/3 seeking [2] 46/14 64/15 seems [3] 16/25 29/22 56/3

S	9
seen [1] 57/1	Ť
selected [1] 40/23	
selecting [2] 56/15 56/17	
selection [13] 37/16 39/12 39/17 41/12	
42/20 43/18 43/20 43/23 48/19 56/6 56/7 56/24 59/13	l,
self [1] 47/19	1
self-serving [1] 47/19	,
semantics [1] 20/17	
sense [8] 5/13 14/10 15/14 36/9 42/17	1
60/21 62/4 63/10 sentence [9] 37/9 38/1 38/2 38/3 55/5	1
55/6 55/17 62/10 62/21	
sentenced [1] 44/15	
sentences [3] 58/16 65/10 65/19	
sentencing [3] 54/23 56/3 56/5	1
sequence [3] 2/22 4/16 58/25 serious [2] 19/10 65/19	,
serious [2] 19/10 65/19 seriousness [1] 57/18	,
serving [1] 47/19	,
set [1] 12/3	1
setting [2] 15/17 62/17	1
several [1] 14/16	١,
shape [1] 16/1 she [27] 26/12 27/22 28/17 28/20 28/21	1
28/22 29/13 31/6 33/9 33/10 33/10	
33/17 33/17 33/20 33/22 33/23 33/23	,
34/21 34/22 35/3 35/6 35/15 35/15	
35/16 48/22 64/13 64/13	1
she's [4] 34/25 34/25 35/2 35/19 shirt [4] 34/23 35/3 35/15 36/17	į
shooting [2] 9/15 11/9	
shorthand [1] 16/3	97
shot [1] 54/10	1
should [23] 2/17 5/7 12/20 24/9 24/16 29/10 32/19 35/25 43/17 43/22 47/12	9,
53/9 55/18 58/17 60/9 60/13 60/20	9
61/11 61/21 66/23 67/9 70/11 70/16	1
shouldn't [1] 70/6	1
show [30] 5/1 5/1 5/4 5/18 6/12 6/13	1
6/14 6/22 6/25 7/10 8/24 9/19 9/20 9/23 9/24 10/5 11/6 12/15 13/11 15/20 15/21	9,
16/11 20/3 20/20 22/11 22/14 22/15	3
27/7 27/8 57/5	,
showed [3] 6/18 25/18 26/7	1
showing [1] 13/9	Ŀ
shows [1] 54/19 sign [1] 54/19	ľ
significant [1] 41/25	
simply [9] 6/7 10/2 10/20 20/2 33/9 38/1	
39/23 46/5 53/25	9,
since [2] 17/6 50/2	
single [1] 17/9 sir [1] 71/10	,
sit [1] 60/7	
sitting [1] 20/12	,
situation [3] 51/14 56/21 57/11	,
situations [3] 66/25 67/11 68/22	1
Sixth [1] 4/22 Slow [1] 14/4	,
smaller [1] 3/24	9,
sneak [1] 17/10	
so [112]	1

so [112]

so-to-speak [1] 56/10

society [1] 16/20 some [32] 14/12 19/1 21/20 22/2 29/6 30/22 30/23 31/1 31/2 33/25 35/20 36/12 39/4 39/5 39/6 39/11 39/20 40/9 40/15 40/18 43/14 47/9 51/25 53/13 58/21 59/1 61/13 65/22 67/3 67/25 69/18 70/20 somebody [5] 11/7 11/23 50/12 68/18 somehow [6] 15/10 16/24 32/4 46/2 55/10 68/12 someone [3] 6/22 58/3 68/17 something [29] 5/12 7/1 8/25 10/7 16/19 17/17 18/5 22/15 26/19 28/13 33/23 35/10 36/21 39/11 40/20 40/21 41/1 42/9 43/11 49/16 49/23 50/2 53/12 57/6 58/14 64/10 68/19 68/24 69/15 sometime [3] 25/19 35/8 45/12 sometimes [6] 50/11 50/12 55/24 55/25 56/17 57/8 somewhat [1] 65/9 somewhere [2] 52/12 69/16 son [1] 58/12 sorry [12] 3/10 4/4 14/5 14/5 14/22 16/6 22/21 25/25 29/4 49/5 53/1 56/7 sort [15] 4/21 13/14 15/10 15/14 16/17 18/1 23/7 23/10 39/5 46/17 46/18 66/14 68/11 69/6 70/20 sought [7] 38/8 38/25 42/3 47/6 67/16 67/18 68/10 sounds [2] 44/10 46/23 South [1] 1/14 SOUTHERN [1] 1/2 speak [2] 49/10 56/10 speaking [1] 44/20 **specific [1]** 70/13 specifically [3] 13/24 67/14 70/5 spectrum [1] 46/20 speculating [2] 18/4 18/6 spend [2] 3/25 46/16 spoken [2] 40/5 40/6 square [1] 45/22 **squarely [1]** 9/14 **squeeze** [1] 67/6 stage [5] 41/16 42/17 42/18 43/10 48/21 stand [3] 6/13 8/7 11/15 standard [2] 4/22 44/1 standing [2] 20/14 23/3 standpoint [1] 33/21 start [2] 3/3 4/1 started [1] 54/24 **starting [1]** 4/16 state [3] 44/3 58/13 69/6 stated [1] 24/22 statement [18] 9/4 10/22 11/19 12/11 19/21 20/18 20/24 21/2 21/6 21/8 21/11 25/16 40/2 42/7 47/5 56/17 61/18 66/10 statements [4] 17/7 17/14 21/2 64/17 states [7] 1/1 1/4 1/11 2/3 55/4 64/6 69/16 stay [1] 68/21 staying [1] 20/22 **STENOTYPE** [1] 1/24 step [5] 9/19 38/24 39/4 52/10 66/12 sticky [1] 13/23 still [1] 46/11

stock [1] 37/22 **stopped** [1] 10/11 straight [2] 54/21 54/22 stranger [1] 63/5 strategic [6] 17/18 18/20 32/22 53/13 54/16 57/14 strategies [2] 70/2 70/4 strategy [9] 4/22 6/11 15/4 15/7 15/9 17/20 17/24 19/3 22/23 Street [2] 1/14 1/18 strengths [1] 52/20 Strickland [2] 4/23 59/16 strikes [1] 3/5 strong [3] 37/8 57/4 63/23 stronger [5] 39/1 39/3 44/6 52/7 52/9 stuck [2] 53/18 53/18 submit [5] 3/1 3/10 3/11 24/16 61/24 submitted [1] 17/11 submitting [4] 4/3 4/4 4/5 4/8 substantive [1] 25/1 substantively [1] 22/9 successfully [1] 17/10 such [4] 13/8 18/24 24/19 33/11 sufficiency [1] 4/10 sufficient [1] 24/10 suggest [1] 56/13 suggested [2] 45/13 60/2 suggesting [5] 29/9 36/15 44/14 46/18 46/22 **suggestion [1]** 55/12 summarize [1] 23/24 **supplement** [1] 26/1 supplemental [4] 3/16 66/10 67/10 71/4 support [1] 4/10 **suppose [2]** 26/16 40/15 supposed [2] 16/23 23/24 **Supreme [1]** 37/3 sure [13] 10/25 29/3 29/18 30/5 32/15 32/23 39/2 45/4 46/9 48/18 61/14 62/11 67/14 sustained [1] 5/6 swords [1] 50/21 sworn [1] 40/2 system [2] 37/5 63/5 table [6] 14/2 14/6 14/7 63/25 64/4

65/11tactical [2] 24/20 27/4 tactically [1] 42/15 take [8] 16/2 39/21 46/8 52/23 57/18 58/11 61/17 71/3 taken [1] 51/16 takes [1] 54/10 talk [18] 2/23 4/19 5/11 25/22 33/5 38/20 40/15 40/20 40/22 41/4 41/21 43/20 43/21 43/23 45/13 49/16 56/21 57/16 talked [4] 11/6 25/24 42/1 57/11 talking [14] 4/1 6/3 15/25 20/1 20/17 28/19 32/20 34/18 34/25 35/14 42/13 49/18 55/17 67/16 targets [2] 34/12 34/13 technically [1] 26/22 tell [7] 22/1 27/19 33/5 45/7 47/23 48/20 58/4

this [145] trying [6] 10/13 14/17 32/18 47/24 53/15 those [18] 3/17 13/21 13/25 17/19 24/5 60/25 telling [2] 22/14 61/25 25/1 26/21 26/23 40/15 46/18 50/21 TV [3] 33/10 33/18 33/23 tells [1] 31/2 61/20 64/17 66/24 67/19 67/22 70/3 two [6] 2/19 3/12 4/2 4/5 14/14 24/18 terms [5] 16/4 29/13 40/12 69/14 69/23 70/13 **type [1]** 26/10 testified [6] 9/17 25/12 27/18 27/22 typical [1] 56/23 though [9] 10/8 21/6 29/16 38/19 58/5 57/25 58/9 60/11 62/3 65/5 70/14 typically [1] 56/20 testifies [1] 48/6 thought [14] 2/16 7/13 11/15 11/16 testify [7] 16/9 18/18 26/12 48/2 55/16 11/17 13/4 25/2 28/18 28/20 39/15 43/9 U.S [4] 2/9 17/8 54/3 54/24 58/1 62/24 49/6 58/19 59/1 thoughts [1] 17/19 Un [1] 26/10 testifying [1] 26/4 testimony [15] 12/22 18/3 25/7 30/2 three [5] 2/19 3/12 4/5 21/18 58/11 **Un-refutable [1]** 26/10 **under [7]** 19/4 43/13 44/3 59/16 65/3 66/24 67/24 30/7 30/11 32/4 32/6 33/19 34/22 36/2 through [8] 4/16 6/11 6/11 6/11 29/5 40/3 64/12 64/15 65/11 59/7 62/4 70/22 texture [2] 51/20 67/2 throughout [2] 26/14 48/11 undercut [1] 19/11 than [18] 2/17 3/12 5/16 6/15 12/17 29/7 throw [1] 44/25 undermining [1] 4/13 **Thursday [1]** 1/7 underneath [1] 55/16 32/8 38/2 38/3 38/24 50/17 52/14 54/23 61/18 62/7 63/24 67/3 67/13 ticket [1] 3/21 understand [7] 12/4 24/2 33/16 36/20 **tied [2]** 15/7 15/8 **ties [1]** 13/13 thank [8] 4/20 7/7 24/3 53/22 68/3 68/4 37/21 53/5 67/1 understanding [2] 3/15 8/20 71/10 71/11 that [563] time [25] 4/1 9/8 11/11 12/10 14/12 17/8 unfulfilled [1] 17/1 that's [87] 20/6 20/12 21/22 21/22 25/16 34/9 unimaginable [1] 38/16 their [19] 14/19 17/20 23/4 23/6 28/2 37/12 37/25 46/16 49/17 53/17 54/1 unique [1] 50/18 30/12 30/20 30/21 31/14 36/6 40/2 46/4 55/23 56/16 60/20 62/11 64/3 67/25 **UNITED [7]** 1/1 1/4 1/11 1/13 2/3 64/6 46/13 50/21 53/17 54/16 54/22 60/10 timeline [1] 50/1 United States [3] 2/3 64/6 69/16 unknown [1] 34/8 them [15] 3/18 13/5 15/13 22/14 25/3 times [3] 14/17 54/3 56/17 26/19 26/24 30/17 37/16 40/7 54/19 timing [1] 30/21 unless [7] 5/8 10/12 11/22 21/13 48/3 58/16 61/5 66/5 66/6 to many [1] 48/18 58/1 58/14 themselves [1] 60/9 today [3] 61/10 61/23 64/12 unpublished [1] 64/5 unquestionably [1] 37/1 unquestioned [1] 32/9 then [54] 2/7 2/18 3/4 3/25 4/12 4/16 5/2 toddler [1] 5/14 5/13 5/15 5/19 6/10 6/14 8/4 9/19 10/20 together [1] 31/18 unquote [3] 18/18 52/3 61/18 10/24 12/11 13/5 13/13 14/24 16/15 token [1] 12/23 told [12] 5/22 6/4 10/9 11/4 13/5 13/9 16/20 17/4 17/14 17/20 18/19 19/23 unscramble [1] 31/21 21/10 25/18 26/6 27/23 27/23 28/17 14/8 43/18 47/9 49/11 62/7 63/9 unsworn [2] 47/20 64/17 tone [1] 15/17 28/23 29/25 36/5 42/1 42/2 42/10 42/13 until [6] 13/5 31/18 37/15 42/20 42/20 42/15 43/12 44/21 48/9 50/2 50/25 **Tony [1]** 27/20 43/18 too [10] 23/4 41/17 42/21 46/16 47/11 52/11 53/13 55/17 55/17 60/3 68/2 69/2 unusual [2] 50/11 56/4 50/2 57/17 58/19 69/9 69/24 up [32] 6/8 10/25 12/3 17/4 20/8 20/14 70/13 theoretically [1] 48/4 took [5] 14/14 36/11 37/18 39/4 52/9 23/11 26/20 29/3 29/18 30/12 30/20 theory [12] 13/16 15/16 16/23 25/3 28/2 total [1] 53/6 31/19 32/9 36/5 36/11 38/21 43/15 30/12 30/16 30/18 30/21 36/11 43/16 totally [3] 42/9 49/7 49/9 44/13 46/1 46/4 46/25 54/3 54/8 54/21 touch [2] 3/17 13/14 54/22 57/5 60/2 61/17 62/6 70/18 71/3 43/17 touching [1] 40/18 toward [1] 52/10 there [99] **upon [2]** 17/22 50/25 upsets [1] 44/20 there's [35] 5/20 9/7 9/11 10/4 11/23 12/21 14/1 18/16 18/17 19/15 19/19 towards [1] 21/9 upsetting [1] 46/21 20/11 26/13 30/1 30/22 31/1 32/21 trade [1] 37/23 us [11] 13/9 13/9 13/11 16/21 16/22 35/20 36/10 40/1 42/7 42/15 44/8 47/16 transcript [2] 1/10 72/3 42/18 43/1 47/13 54/10 58/4 58/14 TRANSCRIPTION [1] 1/24 use [5] 17/10 28/1 55/11 56/20 66/19 47/17 52/22 52/24 52/25 61/14 63/25 64/4 65/22 66/22 69/9 69/9 traveled [2] 22/12 22/13 used [11] 6/19 6/19 13/18 13/19 14/7 therefore [2] 5/25 20/20 trial [40] 4/22 5/19 6/11 8/14 8/15 8/16 14/7 14/9 15/13 37/6 50/6 54/23 these [15] 10/18 12/14 15/8 17/4 18/4 15/17 17/12 18/25 21/20 22/3 24/23 26/18 44/3 47/19 55/19 58/6 62/5 62/24 25/24 26/14 29/25 32/23 33/13 35/17 vanishingly [2] 38/14 38/15 65/19 68/20 70/22 41/14 45/1 46/13 46/15 46/21 46/22 various [2] 65/1 70/2 they [123] 48/11 48/13 49/18 54/10 54/11 54/17 they'll [2] 50/13 50/15 55/7 57/2 59/4 60/9 61/17 62/6 62/7 vehicle [3] 14/14 35/6 35/8 they're [18] 6/9 8/25 12/12 14/10 22/1 verbal [1] 55/21 62/7 63/22 69/7 27/5 35/19 42/4 42/19 50/15 50/15 tried [3] 28/4 38/4 58/8 verdict [1] 44/20 54/20 54/21 59/23 60/7 61/12 61/12 trigger [2] 9/6 57/20 versus [2] 2/4 64/6 trouble [1] 52/18 very [24] 8/9 9/8 13/23 21/16 22/4 23/19 true [11] 14/21 25/4 37/7 53/25 55/14 23/20 26/20 32/24 33/12 37/10 37/11 they've [1] 45/16 thing [4] 18/1 60/11 60/23 60/24 59/12 60/12 61/25 62/1 63/15 64/17 37/11 39/6 39/18 40/11 42/5 50/12 things [6] 18/4 21/9 22/3 25/1 62/24 trump [1] 70/7 54/23 58/21 63/23 63/24 64/20 65/19 truthfully [1] 59/14 victim [1] 24/25 66/15 try [9] 17/10 30/19 37/17 37/18 40/9 think [73] violent [2] 17/25 22/2

53/6 63/23 65/18 66/18

virtually [1] 38/3

thinking [4] 5/14 8/24 35/9 69/6

virtue [1] 36/4 W wait [3] 10/8 60/7 68/5 waited [1] 43/18 waiting [2] 42/20 57/5 walked [1] 47/10 walking [1] 37/10 walks [1] 42/20 want [31] 2/21 2/23 4/19 6/7 6/8 21/14 22/7 23/2 24/7 24/14 37/18 41/4 47/10 47/12 49/12 50/24 53/3 54/22 55/11 55/25 57/16 60/8 62/20 63/11 63/19 64/2 64/19 69/4 69/18 69/23 71/2 wanted [8] 28/1 30/23 31/15 31/16 34/4 34/9 41/6 55/4 wants [4] 3/1 33/14 34/3 54/18 was [203] wasn't [24] 7/5 8/3 8/5 8/6 9/9 10/8 10/9 11/4 27/6 30/20 32/10 34/14 35/17 36/12 37/15 38/23 39/6 49/7 49/9 52/14 52/15 52/15 52/21 58/7 way [26] 3/25 8/5 15/12 19/4 28/3 28/5 32/5 35/5 36/15 37/2 38/15 38/18 44/8 44/10 48/11 49/21 60/17 62/5 62/6 63/11 64/18 66/4 66/11 66/16 68/14 70/7 way and [1] 28/3 ways [2] 14/14 45/3 we [95] we'll [8] 3/20 9/19 9/24 17/4 23/15 56/18 67/3 67/24 we're [28] 10/12 10/19 10/23 11/14 14/17 15/20 15/21 16/18 20/17 20/19 21/1 26/23 26/24 29/3 34/18 35/14 38/24 39/15 41/5 43/1 43/2 43/5 47/3 49/18 57/4 59/9 67/7 68/1 we've [1] 3/4 weaker [2] 39/1 52/14 weakness [3] 52/17 52/20 54/19 well [68] 3/3 3/21 3/24 7/11 7/12 8/19 10/8 11/1 11/3 11/10 13/7 14/25 16/3 20/3 22/3 22/23 24/9 25/15 26/2 26/9 26/14 26/16 28/20 30/8 31/20 33/2 36/8 36/10 36/22 39/13 39/15 39/24 39/25 40/18 40/19 42/2 42/11 42/19 43/14 44/10 44/17 45/9 45/20 46/11 46/16 46/24 47/14 48/1 48/2 48/8 48/12 49/4 50/1 50/6 51/9 51/17 53/2 53/16 59/6 60/24 61/16 62/2 62/11 62/16 65/5 66/17 67/4 70/15 well-known [1] 26/14 went [2] 23/4 48/13 were [24] 5/4 6/5 6/5 12/16 13/2 15/8 17/16 18/17 26/3 26/3 26/6 26/13 31/15 31/17 34/13 36/3 40/6 41/14 45/5 48/17 54/25 57/19 59/6 70/4 what [143] what's [5] 7/11 33/19 40/12 56/23 63/12 whatever [8] 2/25 28/21 30/7 54/12 63/25 66/14 71/1 71/8

when [27] 5/4 8/23 9/15 14/19 20/14

43/12 44/7 45/21 49/19 50/20 54/24

20/18 28/3 28/6 29/19 36/5 41/21 42/5

54/24 56/14 62/17 62/25 64/4 64/25 66/23 67/22 70/23 where [40] 13/7 17/9 18/8 19/9 19/18 19/22 28/23 29/3 37/7 37/25 38/14 40/24 45/1 45/12 48/5 51/14 52/15 53/1 54/4 54/7 54/13 56/10 56/25 58/8 58/11 58/13 58/22 59/15 61/22 63/16 63/24 66/7 67/14 68/22 69/7 69/14 69/17 70/9 70/17 70/25 whereabouts [1] 13/20 Wherever [1] 36/1 whether [28] 2/21 17/18 17/25 18/15 27/3 30/6 30/6 31/3 35/19 44/4 45/12 45/23 47/8 49/2 49/10 49/15 52/11 61/11 61/21 61/23 65/2 65/5 65/14 66/22 66/23 67/9 67/20 68/12 which [29] 3/5 3/8 4/2 4/5 12/16 13/14 13/22 15/19 15/19 16/21 23/24 26/20 27/6 27/14 29/7 36/7 37/11 45/3 45/15 47/20 50/7 50/25 55/19 61/16 61/19 63/21 64/10 67/11 68/9 while [1] 40/22 who [20] 5/15 11/23 18/3 18/17 19/17 20/11 21/25 22/5 25/9 25/12 27/23 33/24 43/7 47/7 52/23 57/19 58/9 59/10 60/13 62/11 whoever [2] 18/4 30/4 whole [2] 15/17 17/2 why [30] 3/3 4/1 9/18 34/22 35/4 35/21 36/23 37/12 37/21 43/11 45/13 47/20 48/18 48/21 52/1 52/6 52/8 54/15 54/25 56/3 57/1 57/3 60/2 60/16 64/13 66/12 67/1 67/23 68/6 70/15 wicket [1] 13/23 **WILKINSON [16]** 1/13 2/9 9/12 17/3 24/14 40/7 41/12 43/7 45/12 48/20 64/13 64/20 65/12 66/2 71/3 71/5 Wilkinson's [2] 64/22 70/2 will [13] 5/1 5/1 6/10 6/22 6/25 6/25 9/23 10/5 26/1 50/12 54/2 68/8 70/2 willing [3] 55/11 62/23 62/24 willingness [1] 62/19 Wilson [5] 15/11 15/12 30/12 31/16 55/15 wish [1] 36/1 without [7] 13/15 15/13 15/16 29/21 39/25 43/2 48/8 witness [14] 12/12 14/19 16/5 19/5 19/10 20/5 26/11 30/14 30/16 31/6 32/24 57/5 59/2 62/6 witnesses [3] 11/7 17/23 20/5 won't [2] 43/3 68/7 wonder [1] 62/7 word [5] 10/6 10/23 17/10 50/6 55/12 words [14] 6/21 10/19 12/14 17/13 20/19 21/1 23/15 26/11 33/22 40/6 40/11 40/15 56/20 66/19 work [3] 29/2 44/2 60/5 worked [5] 17/15 18/14 21/23 21/23 21/24 working [3] 12/25 21/23 22/1 works [1] 68/19 would [102] wouldn't [19] 6/1 6/23 9/8 29/16 31/24

37/22 39/25 41/25 45/22 45/22 46/8

47/15 49/10 57/21 60/17 63/6 63/17

Wow [1] 49/21 wrestle [1] 66/25 write [2] 3/10 67/5 written [2] 55/22 68/7 wrong [3] 7/11 7/13 23/13 yeah [11] 27/7 28/16 35/2 40/8 49/25 50/8 56/25 60/19 61/7 65/23 69/22 year [2] 49/15 54/11 years [6] 43/22 46/21 54/7 54/9 63/25 66/13 Yep [1] 49/22 yes [27] 3/6 3/23 4/14 7/18 9/25 12/23 15/9 19/12 23/9 23/9 25/17 25/20 27/17 27/22 28/22 28/25 30/15 34/17 34/20 40/20 46/7 46/7 48/14 57/10 63/20 65/21 71/6 yet [4] 3/18 43/7 65/15 67/20 you [236] you'd [1] 53/16 you're [54] 2/15 4/1 4/4 4/5 4/8 5/9 6/21 10/12 11/11 11/13 11/22 13/9 13/10 13/11 13/12 13/24 15/25 16/22 17/13 20/7 21/20 21/21 21/25 22/2 23/16 23/25 29/1 30/24 37/10 37/24 38/9 39/10 40/24 40/24 41/1 41/10 42/6 44/13 44/17 44/21 45/2 46/21 46/24 47/3 51/2 53/4 56/14 64/3 65/6 65/17 69/13 70/8 70/12 70/23 you've [5] 2/22 32/3 44/4 57/1 65/9

64/14 70/14

young [1] 21/23

yourselves [1] 2/6

vour [84]